BANKRUPTCY RELATED DECISIONS U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT, DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS & U.S. SUPREME COURT

November 22, 1989 through March 5, 1997

ABANDONMENT

<u>In re Olson</u>, 930 F.2d 6 (8th Cir. 1991)

Vreugdenhill v. Navistar Intern. Transp. Corp., 950 F.2d 524 (8th Cir. 1991)

ABSTENTION

<u>In re Haugen</u>, 120 B. R. 124 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

<u>In re Apex Oil Co.</u>, 980 F.2d 1150 (8th Cir. 1992) Abandonment of property by Chapter 7 estate was not a "sale or exchange," and was accordingly not a taxable event giving rise to federal or Iowa tax liability for the estate.

Claim by Chapter 7 debtor-dealer against supplier had not been necessarily "scheduled," and claim accordingly had not been abandoned by operation of law when case was closed, where debtor had only raised the issue in motion for order to show cause.

Discretionary abstention was appropriate with respect to debtor's adversary proceeding seeking monetary damages for excessive and wrongful levy, emotional distress, and conversion.

District court properly abstained from trying merchant mariners' asbestos claims against Chapter 11 debtors so that

their claims could be pursued in Pennsylvania district where the claims had been transferred by the judicial panel on multidistrict litigation.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

<u>In re Koppinger</u>, 113 B. R. 588, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Perfected security interest in accounts receivable for sale of motor fuel was not broad enough to encompass amounts representing fuel taxes.

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

In re Woods Farmers Co-op. Elevator Co., 107 B. R. 694, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1989)

I.R.S. v. Boatmen's First Nat.

Bank of Kansas City,

5 F.3d 1157 (8th Cir. 1993)

<u>In re Larsen</u>, 59 F.3d 783 (8th Cir. 1995)

Lessors of grain storage facility to debtor could recover postpetition rents as administrative expenses.

Administrative claims as a rule may not be charged against secured collateral but may be charged against a secured creditor who agrees to continued operation - the "benefit" lies in the creditor's ambition to improve its position.

Statute according priority of distribution to administrative expense claims accorded priority only to claims allowed in pending Chapter 7 case, not in cases dismissed almost three years earlier.

APPEAL

In re Champion, 895 F.2d 490

Denial of motion to vacate

(8th Cir. 1990)

Franzen v. Federal Land Bank of Omaha, 897 F.2d 973 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>Travelers Ins. v. KCC-Leawood</u> <u>Corp Manor I</u>, 908 F.2d 343 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>Jacobson v. Nielsen,</u> 932 F.2d 1272 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Gaines</u>, 932 F.2d 729 (8th Cir. 1991)

dismissal of bankruptcy appeal was not abuse of discretion, given movant's failure to file designation of record or statement of issues as required by Bankruptcy Rule 8006.

Order dismissing bankruptcy court judge, on grounds of judicial immunity, from action in which plaintiffs alleged that the judge had mishandled proceedings related to foreclosure action, was not an appealable final order.

District court order, finding that bankruptcy court had implicitly denied confirmation of reorganization plan and remanding case to the bankruptcy court for further proceedings, was not a final, reviewable order.

Appeal from judgment in adversary proceeding was properly dismissed for failure to file, without a showing of excusable neglect, notice of appeal within ten days of entry of bankruptcy court's order.

Order granting motion extending time for filing complaints objecting to discharge in Chapter 7 case

was not a final, appealable order.

<u>Connecticut National Bank</u> <u>v. Germain</u>, 503 U.S. 249 112 S.Ct. 1146 (1992) An interlocutory order issued by a district court sitting as a court of appeals in bankruptcy is appealable under 28 U.S.C.A. §1291.

<u>In re Russell</u>, 957 F.2d 534 (8th Cir. 1992)

District court order dismissing trustee's claim for punitive damages against debtor for fraudulent concealment of estate assets was not appealable under collateral order doctrine.

<u>Currell v. Taylor</u>, 963 F.2d 166 (8th. Cir. 1992)

District court order requiring bankruptcy court to make additional rulings and factual determinations was not final and appealable.

Olive Street Inv., Inc. v. Howard Sav. Bank, 972 F.2d 214 (8th Cir. 1992) Appeal from district court's dismissal of Chapter 11 debtor's appeal from bankruptcy court order lifting automatic stay had become moot in light of debtor's failure to appeal dismissal of case to the Court of Appeals.

In re Woods Farmers Co-op. Elevator Co., 983 F.2d 125 (8th Cir. 1993)

Creditor's appeal from district court order remanding matter to bankruptcy court had to <u>In re Trout</u>, 984 F.2d 977 (8th Cir. 1993)

<u>Lewis v. U.S. Farmers Home</u> <u>Admin.</u>, 992 F.2d 767 (8th Cir. 1993)

<u>In re Harlow Fay, Inc.,</u> 993 F.2d 1351 (8th Cir. 1993)

<u>In re Roller</u>, 999 F.2d 464 (8th Cir. 1993)

be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

Trustee's appeal of district court order affirming bankruptcy court's exclusion of property from estate was premature, where trustee had filed "motion for reconsideration," which was construed as motion to alter or amend judgment, but district court had not yet ruled on that motion.

Bankruptcy court order that sustained objections to confirmation of Chapter 13 debtor's proposed plan, outlined elements of acceptable plan, and gave debtor ten days to submit a conforming plan or face dismissal was not a "final" appealable order.

Chapter 11 debtor's counsel's financial pressures, relocation and staff reduction did not establish the excusable neglect required for granting extension of time for filing appellate brief on appeal from dismissal of bankruptcy petition.

Debtors' appeal from order reinstating their Chapter

<u>In re B.J. McAdams, Inc.</u>, 999 F.2d 1221 (8th Cir. 1993)

In re Pleasant Woods Associates Ltd. Partnership, 2 F.3d 837 (8th Cir. 1993)

In re Bank Bldg. and Equipment Corp. of America, 23 F.3d 1390 (8th Cir. 1994)

Bankruptcy Estate of United Shipping Co., Inc. v. General Mills, Inc. 34 F.3d 1383 (8th Cir. 1994)

<u>Things Remembered, Inc. v. Petrarca,</u> 516 U.S. 124, 116 S.Ct. 494 (1995)

12 petition was properly dismissed as moot.

Creditor's motion to make additional fact findings and to amend judgment was timely even though it was filed before judgment had been entered.

Bankruptcy court's denial of confirmation of Chapter 11 plan was not "final order" that Court of Appeals had jurisdiction to consider.

District court order remanding preference proceeding to the bankruptcy court was not final, and, thus, order was not appealable.

Deferential standard, rather than de novo standard, applied in reviewing district court judgment affirming bankruptcy court's decision giving effect to determination by ICC that agreement between shipper and carrier was for contract carriage, rather than common carriage.

Section 1447(d) precludes appellate review of any order remanding a case to state court due to a defect in procedure Cochrane v. Vaquero Investments, 76 F. 3rd 200 (8th Cir. 1996)

<u>In re Melp, Ltd.</u>, 79 F.3d 747 (8th Cir. 1996)

or lack of jurisdiction.

Bankruptcy court orders ruling on creditors' objection to debtor's exemptiion claim were not final, appealable orders because orders did not conclusively resolve issue of whether debtor's Florida condominium property was exempt from debtor's bankruptcy estate.

District court order finding portion of requested attorney fees granted by bankruptcy court to be non-recoverable as matter of law was not final and appealable because order also vacated entire fee award and remanded case for further inquiry into whether services for which other fees were incurred benefitted estate.

ATTORNEYS

In re Classic Roadsters, Ltd., 150 B.R. 751 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993) Law firm which was creditor of Chapter 11 debtor and whose partner was escrow agent for debtor and another creditor could not be employed to represent debtor in

bankruptcy proceeding.

<u>U.S. v. Olson</u>, 4 F.3d 562 (8th Cir. 1993)

Attorneys cannot claim an equitable lien or judicial lien in funds not in possession absent a state statute providing for the same.

In re Coones Ranch, Inc., 7 F.3d 740 (8th Cir. 1993)

Debtor's attorney could be sanctioned for assisting debtor in filing Chapter 11 petition four days after debtor was incorporated.

ATTORNEY FEES

<u>In re Reed</u>, 890 F.2d 104 (8th Cir. 1989)

Legal services performed by debtor's attorney in defending dischargeability complaint benefited only debtor, not estate, and the fees for such services thus were not recoverable from debtor's estate.

<u>In re Trout</u>, 108 B.R. 235, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1989)

Debtor's counsel would be sanctioned for failure to disclose complete settlement terms between debtor and creditor.

In re Robertson Companies, Inc., 123 B.R. 616 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990) Minneapolis firm representing North Dakota debtor with far-flung interests was entitled to be compensated at hourly rate in excess of that typical for North Dakota firms.

<u>In re Jelinek</u>, 153 B.R. 279 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

Attorney who crafted Chapter 11 plans on behalf

of secured creditor, and who acted as counsel for plans' liquidating agent after confirmation, was entitled to attorney fees as administrative expenses.

In re Schriock Const., Inc., 176 B.R. 176 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

(8th Cir. 1997)

Oversecured creditor was not entitled to attorney Reversed 104 F.3d 200 fees as part ofits claim, absent contractual agreement for payment of such fees enforceable under state law.

<u>In re Kline</u>, 65 F.3d 749 (8th Cir. 1995)

Attorney fee awards that are in the nature of alimony or support are nondischargeable under § 523(a)(5) even if payable directly to an attorney.

<u>In re Kohl</u>, 95 F.3d 713 (8th Cir. 1996)

Chapter 7 debtor's attorney, whose services did not benefit estate, was not entitled to compensation for services rendered in debtor's prior Chapter 7 and Chapter 11 proceedings.

AUTOMATIC STAY

Croyden Associates v. Alleco, Inc., 969 F.2d 675 (8th Cir. 1992) The stay required under section 362 extends only to claims against the debtor and is not available to non-debtor co-defendants, even if they are in a similar legal or factual nexus with the debtor.

<u>U.S. Through Farmers Home</u> <u>Admin. v. Nelson</u>, Stay is not violated by a post-petition letter sent

969 F.2d 626 (8th Cir. 1992) by a codebtor (FmHA) advising a debtor of various loan options and remedies.

<u>In re Larson</u>, 979 F.2d 625 (8th Cir. 1992)

Mortgagee's filing of addendum for North Dakota "collateral real estate mortgage" did not violate automatic stay.

<u>In re Hale</u>, 980 F.2d 1176 (8th Cir. 1992)

Bankruptcy court did not have affirmative obligation to enter stay in bankruptcy proceeding brought to recover damages for alleged automatic stay violation pending outcome of related criminal investigation

AVOIDABLE TRANSFERS

<u>In re Trout</u>, 146 B.R. 823 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992) Under Montana law, Chapter 7 trustee's constructive notice of debtor's former wife's interest in property when she was in sole possession of premises precluded trustee from using strong-arm powers as bona fide purchaser to avoid debtor's prepetition transfer by quitclaim deed of his interest to former wife.

CASH COLLATERAL - USE OF

<u>In re Trout</u>, 123 B.R. 333 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Use of cash collateral satisfied statutory requirements, and stipulations for use of cash collateral were valid and binding, entitling creditor whose cash

CHAPTER 11

<u>Toibb v. Radloff</u>, 501 U.S. 157, 111 S. Ct. 2197, 115 Ed. 2d 145 (1991)

<u>In re Tranel</u>, 940 F.2d 1168 (8th Cir. 1991)

collateral was used to lien on post-petition collateral.

Held:

The Bankruptcy Code's plain language permits individual debtors not engaged in business to file for relief under Chap. 11. Toibb is a debtor within the meaning of sec. 109(d), which provides that "a person who may be a debtor broker, and a railroad may be a debtor under chap. 11." He is a person who may be a Chap. 7 debtor, since only railroads and various financial and insurance institutions are excluded from Chapter 7's coverage, and § 109(d) makes Chap. 11 available to all entities eligible for Chap. 7 protection, Chap. 11's structure and legislative history indicate that it contains no ongoing business requirement for Chap. 11 reorganization; and there is no basis, including underlying policy considerations, for imposing one. 902 F.2d 14, reversed.

Creditors may file a liquidating plan if debtor fails to file its own plan within 120 day period.

In re Windsor on the River Associates, Ltd., 7 F.3d 127 (8th Cir. 1993)

Harstad v. First American Bank, 39 F.3d 898 (8th Cir. 1994)

Chapter 11 debtor may not manufacture impairment of class to satisfy cramdown requirement that at least one impaired class accept the plan.

A Chap. 11 debtor may not motion a post-confirmation preference action unless the plan itself provides for the retention and enforcement of [that claim or intent] by the debtor and it is clear that any recovery will benefit creditors.

CHAPTER 13

Miller v. U.S. Through Farmers Home Admin. 907 F.2d 80 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Slaughter</u>, 188 B.R. 29, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1995)

<u>In re LeMaire</u>, 898 F.2d 1346 (8th Cir. 1990) Unsecured portion of any undersecured obligation should be treated as unsecured debt in determining debtor's Chapter 13 eligibility under the debt limitations of \$100,000 unsecured debt and \$350,000 secured debt.

Chapter 13 plan may provide for direct payment of impaired secured claims and thereby avoid otherwise requisite trustee fee.

Chapter 13 plan, proposing discharge of civil judgment awarded the victim of debtor's intentional shooting, was not proposed Johnson v. Home State Bank, 501 U.S. 78, 111 S.Ct. 2150 (1991)

<u>In re Leser</u>, 939 F.2d 669 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Molitor</u>, 133 B.R. 1020 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

Noreen v. Slattengren,

in good faith and would not be confirmed.

A mortgage lien securing an obligation for which a debtor's personal liability has been discharged in a Chap. 7 liquidation is a "claim" within the meaning of § 101(5) and is subject to inclusion in an approved Chap. 13 reorganization Plan. Congress intended in § 101(5) to incorporate the broadest available definition of "claim". see Pennsylvania Dept. of Public Welfare v. Davenport, 495 U.S. __. ... 904 F. 2d 563, reversed and remanded.

Chapter 13 plan providing for separate classification and treatment of unsecured claims for child support arrearages assigned to county collection departments by debtor's former wife did not unfairly discriminate against other unsecured claims.

Chapter 13 plan, proposing repayment of deeds of trust over 15 years, Could not be confirmed.

Chapter 13 plan denied

974 F.2d 75 (8th Cir. 1992)

confirmation and upon finding that Chapter 13 case was filed in bad faith in anticipation of large tort award.

Rake v. Wade, 508 U.S. 464

An oversecured mortgage 113 S. Ct. 2187 (1993) was entitled to preconfirmation and post-confirmation interest on arrearages that were paid off under Chapter 13 plans.

Nobelman v. American Savings Bank, 508 U.S. 324, 113 S.Ct. 2106 (1993)

A Chapter 13 debtor may not reduce the claim of an undersecured homestead mortgage to the fair market value of the debtor's principal residence.

Security Bank of Marshalltown, Iowa v. Neiman, 1 F.3d 687 (8th Cir. 1993) The Chapter 13 estate continues to exist as a legal entity after confirmation even if, pursuant to § 1327, property of the estate has vested in the debtor.

<u>In re Ficken</u>, 2 F.3d 299 (8th Cir. 1993)

Unsecured portion of Chapter 13 debt had to be considered for purposes of Chapter 13 qualification in determining whether total unsecured debt exceeded \$100,000 and rendered them ineligible for relief.

In re Groves, 39 F.3d 212,

Nondischargeability of (8th Cir. 1994) student loans was not a sufficient basis for separate classification.
A plan may pay student

loans pro rata along with other unsecured claims and as a continuing obligation thereafter or may treat it as a long term indebtedness under § 1322(b)(5) by curing arrearages and maintaining regular payments.

<u>In re Montgomery</u>, 37 F.3d 413 (8th Cir. 1994)

Failure to attend creditors meeting may constitute "willful failure to abide by orders of the court" and preclude filing for relief for a 180 day period under § 109(g). Debtor bears burden of showing his failure to attend was not willful.

<u>In re Kjellsen</u>, 53 F.3d 944 (8th Cir. 1995)

Debtor's daughter who had been given durable power of attorney could not file Chapter 13 petition on debtor's behalf after guardian for debtor's estate was appointed.

<u>In re Molitor</u>, 76 F.3rd 218 (8th Cir. 1996)

Bankruptcy court could convert a Chapter 13 case to a Chapter 7 upon a showing that the petition had been filed in bad faith.

<u>In re Roso</u>, 76 F. 3rd 179 (8th Cir. 1996)

A "market rate of interest" required by § 1325 does not include FmHA's subsidized interest rate. The term is the rate that would be offered to the debtor by a commercial lender.

CLAIMS

In re Apex Oil Co., 958 F.2d 243 (8th Cir. 1992) Denial of due process did not occur when bankruptcy judge disposed of claim with finality, even if debtor's counsel believed that the hearing was to be confined to an estimate of claim for purposes of posting security.

Evans v. F.D.I.C., 981 F.2d 978 (8th Cir.1993)

Any right of debtor to interpleaded fund, representing surplus remaining at conclusion of bankruptcy case, was subordinate to other claims.

In re Collins Securities Corp., 998 F.2d 551 (8th Cir. 1993)

FDIC, as insurer of failed savings and loan association, did not act arbitrarily or capriciously in denying deposit insurance claim of bankruptcy trustee for assignee of certificate of deposit.

In re Windsor on the River Associates, Inc., 7 F.3d 127 (8th Cir. 1993) A claim is not impaired for cramdown purposes if alteration of rights in question arises soley from debtors exercise of discretion to create "artificial impairment".

<u>In re Mathiason</u>, 16 F.3d 234 (8th Cir. 1994)

When an objection to claim is joined with a request that they can't "determine

Abbott Bank v. Armstrong, 44 F.3d 665 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>Smith v. Dowden</u>, 47 F.3d 940 (8th Cir. 1995)

the status" of the claim the litigator becomes an adversary proceeding and all issues relative to claim validity must be raised or will be regarded as waived.

Claim by Chapter 7 debtors that bank's \$1 million claim was extinguished by failure to give some proper notice of sale of \$950 of hay and some farm equipment was barred by collateral estoppel springing from prior decision of Court of Appeals affirming denial of discharge based on transfer with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud creditor, even though debtors' initial pleading in prior proceeding admitted that bank was creditor; heart of controversy in prior proceeding was whether debtors had acted with intent to defraud creditor, and holding rested on conclusion that creditor status was established.

A proof of claim is revocable and a creditor may withdraw its proof of claim, the jurisdictional effect of which, is to restore the creditor's right to jury trial. McSherry v. Trans World Airlines, Inc., 81 F.3d 739 (8th Cir. 1996)

In re Be-Mac Transport Co., Inc., 83 F.3d 1020 (8th Cir. 1996)

COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL

Abbott Bank v. Armstrong, 44 F.3d 665 (8th Cir. 1995)

The Code definition of "claim" is broad enough to include an obligation on which a civil action would be premature because of jurisdictional prerequisites.

A secured creditor need not file a claim to preserve its lien. A proof of claim, if filed, is prima facie proof of their claim and is deemed allowed if no objection, but may loose its lien if not provided for in the plan, provided the lienholder participated in the reorganization. A secured claim cannot be disallowed soley on grounds that second amended claim clarifying its status, was untimely.

Claim by Chapter 7 debtors that bank's \$1 million claim was extinguished by failure to give some proper notice of sale of \$950 of hay and some farm equipment was barred by collateral estoppel springing from prior decision of Court of Appeals affirming denial of discharge based on transfer with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud creditor, even though debtors' initial pleading in prior proceeding admitted that bank was

<u>In re Knodle</u>, 187 B.R. 660 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1995)

<u>Stoebner v. Murray</u>, 91 F.3d 1091 (8th Cir. 1996)

<u>In re Goetzman</u>, 91 F.3d 1173 (8th Cir. 1996)

creditor; heart of controversy in prior proceeding was whether debtors had acted with intent to defraud creditor, and holding rested on conclusion that creditor status was established.

Criminal restitution obligation imposed as condition of Chapter 7 debtor's criminal sentence came within discharge exception for fine, penalty, or forfeiture payable to governmental unit.

Collateral estoppel bars relitigation where issue to be precluded is same as that actually litigated in a prior action and determined by a valid final judgment.

Under the Rooker-Feldman doctrine, lower federal courts lack jurisdiction to engage in appellate review of state court determinations. A confirmed plan, incorporating stipulated terms, generated a dispute over the amount due and debtors filed suit in state court for specific performance with the lender filing for foreclosure. The resulting state court decision determined the amount due on the mortgage and precluded federal review.

CONSOLIDATION

<u>In re Giller</u>, 962 F.2d 796 (8th Cir. 1992)

CONTEMPT

<u>In re Ragar</u>, 3 F.3d 1174 (8th Cir. 1993)

Wright v. Nichols, 80 F.3d 1248 (8th Cir. 1996)

CONTRACTS

In re Gerald Harris Builder, Inc., 927 F.2d 1067 (8th Cir. 1991) Substantive consolidation was appropriate for the Chapter 11 cases of six corporate debtors which shared common sole or majority shareholders.

Bankruptcy jurisdictions have criminal contempt power to the extent that they may recommend to a district court that persons be held in criminal contempt.

By signing over check made out to corporation, corporate president violated Bankruptcy Court's temporary restraining order prohibiting removal of corporate funds, and committed criminal contempt because act was volitional and president knew or should reasonably have been aware that her conduct was wrongful.

Bankruptcy court determination that amounts general contractor owed subcontractor were "extras" which the parties had agreed would be paid at the end of road construction project was not clearly erroneous.

<u>U.S. on Behalf of U.S. Postal</u> <u>Service v. Dewey Freight System,</u> Inc., 31 F.3d 620 (8th Cir. 1994) United States Postal
Service was not entitled
to recoup damages incurred
when Chapter 11 debtor
refused to perform
executory contracts against
sums that the USPS owed
the debtor for postpetition
trucking services under the
contracts.

CONVERSION OF CASE

<u>In re Ashton</u>, 107 B. R. 670, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1989)

<u>In re Graven</u>, 936 F.2d 378 (8th Cir. 1991)

Reinbold v. Dewey County Bank, 942 F.2d 1302 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Schriock Const.</u>, 167 B.R. 569 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

In re Estate of Graven, 64 F.3d 453 (8th Cir. 1995) Chapter 11 case would be converted to Chapter 7, based on debtor's failure to propose confirmable reorganization plan.

Bankruptcy court could involuntarily convert pending Chapter 12 case to Chapter 7 based on debtors' alleged fraud, even though the debtors had filed prior motion to voluntarily dismiss.

Bankruptcy court's finding of fraud was sufficiently supported by the evidence and, therefore, conversion of case from Chapter 12 to Chapter 7 was proper.

Postpetition negative cash flow position of debtor justified conversion of Chapter 11 case to Chapter 7 liquidation.

Findings in prior proceeding to convert case from Chapter 12 to Chapter

7, that debtor fraudulently transferred assets to closely held corporation and to trust with intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors, applied in trustee's subsequent proceeding to recover the fraudulent transfers.

<u>In re Molitor</u>, 76 F.3d 218 (8th Cir. 1996)

Bankruptcy court could convert a Chapter 13 case to a Chapter 7 upon a showing that the petition had been filed in bad faith.

CORPORATIONS

In re Dakota Drilling, Inc., 135 B.R. 878 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991) Trustee for Chapter 7 debtor-corporation did not have standing to pursue alter ego remedy against debtor's principal officers.

Keenihan v. Heritage Press, Inc. 19 F.3d 1255 (8th Cir. 1994)

Since authority to file bankruptcy petition for corporation derives from state law, state court TRO, which recognized corporations former owner as president following ouster of new owner as president, precluded new owner from filing petition on behalf of corporation.

<u>In re Reeves</u>,65 F.3d 670 (8th Cir. 1995)

To be liable as an "initial transferee" for purposes of § 550(a)(2), a party

must be more than a conduit, it must exercise dominion and control over the property transferred.

<u>In re B.J. McAdams, Inc.,</u> 66 F.3d 931 (8th Cir. 1995)

Corporate creditor was mere alter ego of Chapter 7 corporate debtor, requiring creditor's corporate form to be disregarded and its lien on estate property voided.

COURTS

Franzen v. Federal Land Bank of Omaha, 897 F.2d 973 (8th Cir. 1990)

Bankruptcy Estate of United Shipping Co., Inc. v. General Mills, Inc. 34 F.3d 1383 (8th Cir. 1994)

Order dismissing bankruptcy court judge, on grounds of judicial immunity, from action in which plaintiffs alleged that the judge had mishandled proceedings related to foreclosure action, was not an appealable final order.

Deferential standard, rather than de novo standard, applied in reviewing district court judgment affirming bankruptcy court's decision giving effect to determination by ICC that agreement between shipper and carrier was for contract carriage, rather than common carriage.

CRAMDOWN

<u>In re Fisher</u>, 930 F.2d 1361 (8th Cir. 1991)

Discount rate based upon "market rate" formula should have been applied to determine the present value of allowed secured claim of lender which made loans at below-market interest rate, for cramdown purposes in Chapter 12 case.

In re Windsor on the River Associates, Inc., 7 F.3d 127 (8th Cir. 1993) A claim is not impaired for cramdown purposes if alteration of rights in question arises soley from debtor's exercise of discretion to create "artificial impairment".

CREDIT CARDS

<u>In re Hinman</u>, 120 B.R. 1018 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

<u>In re Larson</u>, 136 B. R. 540 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

<u>In re Foley</u>, 156 B.R. 645 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

In re Kerbaugh, 162 B.R. 255

Credit card debt was nondischargeable.

Credit card user was not denied Chapter 7 discharge for failure to list credit card debts on original Chapter 11 schedules, even though he continued using credit cards after Chapter 11 filing.

Debt incurred on preapproved credit line account came within fraud exception to discharge.

Credit card debt was

(Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

nondischargeable due to debtor's use of false information regarding his financial condition in credit application.

CRIMES

<u>U.S. v. Yagow</u>, 953 F.2d 427 (8th Cir. 1992)

<u>U.S. v. French</u>, 46 F.3d 710, (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>U.S. v. Anderson</u>, 68 F.3d 1050 (8th Cir. 1995)

False statements in affidavits supporting in forma pauperis motions filed in suits brought by former debtor against liquidating trustee and creditors were "material" and were made "in relation to" bankruptcy case, within meaning of bankruptcy criminal fraud statute.

A chapter 7 debtor was convicted of criminal conversion and bankruptcy fraud stemming from his intentional failure to comply with FmHA cattle sale reporting requirements and conversion of sale proceeds.

District court properly calculated intended loss that defendant attempted to inflict by concealing assets in bankruptcy case, for purpose of base offense level for bankruptcy fraud, by measuring difference between maximum potential loss based on undisclosed assets and amount defendant actually repaid in settlements to creditors who did not know true

extent of his assets.

<u>U.S. v. Cheek</u>, 69 F.3d 231 (8th Cir. 1995)

Increase in base offense level for bankruptcy fraud, on abuse of judicial process, did not constitute impermissible double counting, but instead demonstrated that bankruptcy fraud involved higher level of culpability.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE

<u>U.S. v. Little</u>, 990 F.2d 1090 (8th Cir. 1993)

Defendant-auditing company president who pled guilty to concealing assets from bankruptcy trustee was not entitled under the federal sentencing guidelines to two point reduction in base offense level for acceptance of responsibility.

CRIMINAL LAW

<u>U.S. v. Snover</u>, 900 F.2d 1207, (8th Cir. 1990)

In sentencing defendants for concealment of bankruptcy assets, court could consider, as aggravating factors warranting departure from sentencing guidelines, defendants' selling farm equipment under aliases not listed in bankruptcy petition, and receiving rental payments through a numbered bank account.

<u>U.S. v. Fousek</u>, 912 F.2d 979, (8th Cir. 1990)

Under Sentencing Guidelines court properly took into consideration embezzlement

defendant's position as bankruptcy trustee as basis for upward sentence departure.

<u>U.S. v. Lloyd</u>, 947 F.2d 339 (8th Cir. 1991)

Debtor's conduct in concealing assets from bankruptcy court officers and committing perjury during bankruptcy proceedings did not warrant sentence enhancement for obstruction of justice.

<u>U.S. v. Robbins</u>, 997 F.2d 390 (8th Cir. 1993)

Doctrine of confusion of assets was not admissible to prove that property was part of bankruptcy estate, in criminal prosecution for fraudulent concealment of estate property.

<u>U.S. v. Graham</u>, 60 F.3d 463 (8th Cir. 1995)

Chapter 7 debtor's repetition of same false statements at three successive meetings of creditors, in attempt to conceal same asset and prevent its inclusion in bankruptcy estate, did not permit prosecution of debtor for three separate counts of making false statements, even though on each occasion that debtor repeated falsehood he was being questioned by different individual.

<u>U.S. v Christner</u>, 66 F.3d 922 (8th Cir. 1995) Counts of indictment charging Chapter 11 debtor with bankruptcy fraud

were not multiplications in violation of debtor's rights under double jeopardy clause, even if counts involved same property.

DAMAGES

Small Business Administration v. Rhinehart, 887 F.2d 165 (8th Cir. 1989)

Hicks v. Capitol American Life Ins. Co., 943 F.2d 891 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>Landscape Properties, Inc. v.</u> <u>Vogel</u>, 46 F.3d 1415 (8th Cir. 1995)

DENIAL OF DISCHARGE

In re Craig, 195 B.R. 443

Bankruptcy Code provision governing waiver of sovereign immunity did not authorize award of punitive damages against the United States for willful violation of automatic stay.

Appropriate measure of loss on promissory note incurred by holder of note who received discounted amount for note during his bankruptcy was no more than principal amount less discounted value received, plus interest since breach, as opposed to future value of all payments to be received, less discounted value.

A cause of action for damages under section 363(n) is comparable to damage claims for recovery of preferences and the claim is therefore inherently legal in nature entitling the defendants to a jury trial.

Here, the debtor's history

(1996)

of transferring family

assets into his wife's name, omitting any reference to them in his schedules, established a pattern of continuing concealment warranting denial of discharge under § 727(a)(2) and (a)(4).

DISCHARGE

<u>In re Osterberg</u>, 109 B.R. 938, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Debtor's divorce decree obligation to assume marital debts was in nature of nondischargeable support.

Bush v. Taylor, 893 F.2d 962 (8th Cir. 1990)

Chapter 7 debtor was entitled to discharge of his ongoing obligation under state court divorce decree to remit to his former wife one-half of the payments received by him under a pension plan.

<u>In re Magnuson</u>, 113 B. R. 555, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Debtors' underestimation of cash and deposits in Chapter 7 schedules by more than 81% warranted revocation of discharge.

<u>U.S. v. Vetter</u>, 895 F.2d 456, (8th Cir. 1990)

Restitution order of federal court in criminal prosecution was exempt from discharge pursuant to § 523(a)(7).

Bush v. Taylor, 912 F.2d 989, (8th Cir. 1990)

Debtor's obligation to pay his former wife one-half of his pension benefits gave rise to constructive <u>In re Smith</u>, 119 B. R. 714 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

<u>In re Olson</u>, 916 F.2d 481 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Hinman</u>, 120 B.R. 1018 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

Grogan v. Garner, 498 U.S. 279, 111 S.Ct. 654, 112 L.Ed.2d 755 (1991)

Matter of Armstrong, 931 F.2d 1233 (8th Cir. 1991) trust relationship and, therefore, the obligation was not discharged in bankruptcy.

Chapter 7 debtor, who was adjudicated an incapacitated person and under guardianship at all relevant times, did not have ability to form intent required for denial of discharge.

Despite questionable value of dinner theater nominally owned by debtor's wife, debtor's failure to list his interest in the theater was a material misrepresentation warranting denial of discharge for knowingly and fraudulently making a false oath or account.

Credit card debt was nondischargeable.

Preponderance of evidence standard, rather than clear and convincing evidence standard, applies to all discharge exceptions in § 523.

Discharge of Chapter 7 debtors was properly denied on grounds that debtors transferred their property with intent to hinder, Ndosi v. State of Minn., 950 F.2d 1376 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Larson</u>, 136 B. R. 540 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

Mertz v. Rott, 955 F.2d 596 (8th Cir. 1992)

In re Hofmann, 144 B.R. 459, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992) affirmed 5 F.3d 1170 (8th Cir. 1993) delay, or defraud their creditors.

Chapter 7 debtors' personal liability for unemployment insurance obligations of corporation for which they were officers and controlling owners did not arise from wages "earned from the debtors," per § 523(a)(7) and was dischargeable.

Credit card user was not denied Chapter 7 discharge for failure to list credit card debts on original Chapter 11 schedules, even though he continued using credit cards after Chapter 11 filing.

Chapter 7 debtor's failure to disclose estate tax refund he anticipated and subsequently received was a material misrepresentation warranting denial of discharge, even though refund was allegedly exempt.

Judgment arising out of debtor's failure to return all leased cattle on demand was dischargeable. Plaintiff failed in its proof of willful and malicious conversion of property, embezzlement <u>In re Bumann</u>, 147 B.R. 44 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

In re Frey, 150 B.R. 742,

(Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

<u>In re Decker</u>, 153 B.R. 997 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

Werner v. Hofmann, 5 F.3d 1170 (8th Cir. 1993)

and fraud.

Creditor's contingent, unliquidated claim based on Chapter 7 debtor's willful and malicious physical assault at outdoor social function was nondischargeable.

Evidence established that debtor had knowledge that obligation on note, while affected by series of charge offs, had never been actually cancelled, and, thus, established "intent" as required for debt subsequently incurred to be nondischargeable based on false financial statement.

Debt owed to debtor's employer, which was liable under recourse agreement upon debtor's default under bank loan, was nondischargeable on grounds of either false pretenses or willful and malicious injury.

Court sustained bankruptcy court in concluding a state judgment did not come within the exception to discharge for fraud or defalcation while acting in a fiduciary capacity, embezzlement, larceny or willful and malicious injury. <u>In re Kerbaugh</u>, 159 B.R. 862 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

<u>In re Haakenson</u>, 159 B.R. 875 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

<u>In re Jones</u>, 31 F.3d 659 (8th Cir. 1994)

<u>In re Foust</u>, 52 F.3d 766 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Knodle</u>, 187 B.R. 660 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1995)

Discharge exception for false financial statements applied to debt arising from investor's reliance on debtor's business plan containing inaccurate information.

Discharge exception for willful and malicious injury applied to losses sustained by insurance company that purchased insurance business from debtor's insurance agency.

Chapter 7 debtor-corporate president did not have "intent to deceive" oil company with respect to debt owed to bank and secured by corporation's inventory and accounts receivable when he omitted debt from corporate financial statements submitted to oil company to purchase gasoline on credit.

Debtor willfully and maliciously injured government agency's collateral for nondischargeability purposes by secretly converting crop that secured a government loan.

Criminal restitution obligation imposed as condition of Chapter <u>Field v. Mans</u>, 516 U.S. 59, 116 S.Ct. 437 (1995).

McSherry v. Trans World Airlines, Inc., 81 F.3d 739 (8th Cir. 1996)

<u>In re Craig</u>,195 B.R. 443, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1996)

DISCHARGEABILITY

<u>In re Olson</u>, 154 B.R. 276 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

7 debtor's criminal sentence came within discharge exception for fine, penalty, or forfeiture payable to governmental unit.

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled, 1995 WL 696395, that the standard for excepting a debt from discharge for fraud within the meaning of § 523(a)(2)(A) is not reasonable reliance but the less demanding one of justifiable reliance on the representation.

Although a claim for discrimination was contingent upon receipt of a right to sue letter, it nonetheless accrued prepetition and was thus discharged.

Chapter 7 debtor was not entitled to discharge because he concealed assets and failed to disclose transfers of assets purchased with his income but titled in his wife's name.

Tax penalties based on acts that occurred more than three years prepetition are dischargeable regardless of dischargeability status of under-

lying tax debts.

<u>In re Foley</u>, 156 B.R. 645 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

Debt incurred on preapproved credit line account came within fraud exception to discharge.

<u>In re Kerbaugh</u>, 162 B.R. 255 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

Credit card debt was nondischargeable due to debtor's use of false information regarding his financial condition in credit application.

<u>In re Lacina</u>, 162 B.R. 267 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994) Debt arising out of unauthorized and surreptitious acts by debtor-dealer of repeatedly forging seed supplier's endorsement on customers' checks and converting proceeds therefrom to his personal use came within discharge exception for willful and malicious injury.

<u>In re Dammen</u>, 167 B.R. 545 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

False financial statement discharge exception did not apply to Chapter 7 debtor's obligation based on inaccurate and estimated figures the debtor reported in seeking a credit renewal.

<u>In re Roehrich</u>, 169 B.R. 941 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

Chapter 7 debtor's conviction previously entered against him following his guilty plea to charge of theft of <u>In re Olson</u>, 174 B.R. 543 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

<u>U.S. v. French</u>, 46 F.3d 710, (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Affeldt</u>, 60 F.3d 1292 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Straub</u>, 192 B.R. 522 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1996) horses had collateral estoppel effect in proceeding to determine if related debt fell within exception to discharge for willful and malicious injury.

Debtor-taxpayer's failure to file amended North Dakota income tax returns to reflect federal adjustments following IRS audit did not render obligations nondischargeable.

A chapter 7 debtor was convicted of criminal conversion and bankruptcy fraud stemming from his intentional failure to comply with FmHA cattle sale reporting requirements and conversion of sale proceeds.

Condominium association failed to prove that debtor's obligation for condominium assessments was post petition debt not discharged in prior Chapter 7 case by failing to submit condominium declaration to court.

Chapter 12 debtor's future ability to pay property settlement to his former wife from post-discharge disposable income precluded application of discharge

<u>In re Geiger</u>, 93 F.3d 443 (8th Cir. 1996) aff'd en banc decision 113 F.3d 848 (8th Cir. 1997)

<u>In re Waugh</u>, 95 F.3d 706 (8th Cir. 1996)

DISMISSAL

In re Eberhart Moving & Storage Ltd., 120 B. R. 121 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

<u>In re Berndt</u>, 127 B.R. 222 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

<u>In re Montgomery</u>, 37 F.3d 413 (8th Cir. 1994)

exception for non-support debts.

Conduct which is merely reckless without proof that the debtor intentionally inflicted injury is not malicious within the meaning of § 523(a)(6).

Although a determination of willfulness & maliciousness invokes a question of intent factual findings are nonetheless subject to review where physical, documentary and other evidence contradicts a witnesses' story.

Involuntary Chapter 7 petition was filed in bad faith.

Unsecured debt derived from use of credit cards and checking plus accounts for dabbling in stock market was "consumer debt," within meaning of statute mandating dismissal for substantial abuse.

Failure to attend creditors meeting may constitute "willful failure to abide by orders of the court" and preclude filing for relief for a 180 day period under § 109(g). Debtor bears burden of showing his failure to attend was not willful.

<u>In re Graven</u>, 936 F.2d 378 (8th Cir. 1991)

Finding that Debtors had transferred property prior to their Chapter 12 filing with intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors was sufficiently supported by evidence permitting the dismissal or conversion of the case.

In re Coones Ranch, Inc., 7 F.3d 740 (8th Cir. 1993)

Case dismissal sustained where existing debtor transferred encumbered property to a new debtor created simply to file bankruptcy with no prospect for reorganization.

In re Huckfeldt, 39 F.3d 829 (8th Cir. 1994)

Although "cause" for dismissal under § 707(a) is not defined in the statute, it is to be applied narrowly to those situations of extreme conduct unworthy of bankruptcy protection. The mere ability to pay ones debts is not "cause" under § 707(a) but rather, must be considered under § 707(b).

DISMISSAL OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS

<u>In re Martwick</u>, 60 F.3d 482 (8th Cir. 1995)

Denial of Chapter 11 debtors' motion for continuance of expedited hearing on secured creditor's motions for relief from automatic stay and to dismiss case was not abuse of discretion.

DISPOSABLE INCOME

<u>In re Berger</u>, 61 F.3d 624 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Hammrich</u>, 98F.3d 388 (8th Cir. 1996)

Broken Bow Ranch, Inc. v. Farmers Home Admin., 33 F.3d 1005 (8th Cir. 1994)

DIVORCE, ALIMONY & PROPERTY SETTLEMENT

Feldhahn v. Feldhahn, 929 F.2d 1351 (8th Cir. 1991)

Adams v. Zentz, 963 F.2d 197 (8th Cir. 1992)

Chapter 12 debtors' race car expenses were disposable income, for purposes of determining amount they were required to pay unsecured creditors to obtain discharge.

Determining "disposable income" is a fact intensive inquiry with the ultimate finder resting with debtors to show they are contributing all disposable income to the plan.

The amount by which the debtors income exceeds their obligation at the end of their plan, after accountings for carryover funds sufficient to continue the farming operation, is disposable income under § 1225(b)(2).

Debtor's former wife could subrogate against estate a collateralized assignment to secured creditor in partial payment of debt which debtor was legally obligated to pay pursuant to divorce decree.

Attorney fees incurred by ex-husband in seeking to enforce visitation rights did not constitute Mead v. Mead, 974 F.2d 990 (8th Cir. 1992)

<u>In re Morel</u>, 983 F.2d 104 (8th Cir. 1992)

<u>In re Larson</u>, 169 B.R. 945 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

<u>In re Cross</u>, 175 B.R. 38 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

support obligation which would be nondischargeable in ex-wife's Chapter 7 case.

When lien, which Chapter 13 debtor's ex-husband had been granted in divorce decree and which had been released by quitclaim deed, was reinstated on grounds of fraud, debtor could not avoid that lien on her homestead under Bankruptcy Code's lien avoidance provision relying on Farrey v. Sanderfoot.

Unpaid portion of property settlement embodied in divorce decree was not for support, and, thus, was dischargeable in husband's bankruptcy.

Chapter 7 debtor's obligations under prepetition divorce decree to make payments to former wife fell within exception to discharge for alimony, maintenance and support.

On motion for summary judgment, bankruptcy court concludes based upon language contained in the divorce court orders that costs and attorneys fees were intended as support.

<u>In re Sateren</u>, 183 B.R. 576 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1995)

<u>In re Kline</u>, 65 F.3d 749 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Ellis</u>, 72 F.3d 628 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Straub</u>, 192 B.R. 522 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1996)

EXAMINERS

<u>In re Apex Oil Co.,</u> 960 F.2d 728 (8th Cir. 1992) Chapter 7 debtor's obligation under state court divorce decree to make periodic payments to former wife was intended to serve as distribution of marital estate or dischargeable property settlement, rather than nondischargeable support obligation.

Attorney fee awards that are in the nature of alimony or support are nondischargeable under § 523(a)(5) even if payable directly to an attorney.

An award of a sum certain representing non-debtor spouses "interest" in pension was not maintenance and support but was dischargeable property settlemenet because she was not awarded a fixed share as her "sole and separate property.

Chapter 12 debtor's future ability to pay property settlement to his former wife from post-discharge disposable income precluded application of discharge exception for non-support debts.

Bankruptcy court would not need to find that fee

enhancement was necessary to make award commensurate with compensation for comparable, non-bankruptcy services before it could enhance examiner's fee.

<u>In re Haugen</u>, 998 F.2d 1442 (8th Cir. 1993)

Under North Dakota law, individual Chapter 11 debtor had to bear costs of execution sale initiated by creditor.

EXECUTORY CONTRACT

Heartline Farms, Inc. v. Daly, 934 F.2d 985 (8th Cir. 1991)

Installment contract for sale of farmland was a "security device," rather than an "executory contract" subject to assumption or rejection.

<u>Cameron v. Pfaff Plumbing</u> <u>& Heating, Inc.</u>, 966 F.2d 414, (8th Cir. 1992) Chapter 7 debtor's assignment of his future right to rents from apartment project in exchange for reduction of existing indebtedness to creditors, to be credited upon creditors' receipt of rentals, was an "executory contract" properly rejected by trustee.

EXEMPTIONS

<u>In re Johnson</u>, 108 B. R. 240 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1989)

Under North Dakota law, debtor who suffered serious bodily injury was entitled to exempt \$7,500 of structured

tort settlement.

<u>In re Hutton</u>, 893 F.2d 1010 (8th Cir. 1990)

Savings and investment plan provided by debtor's employer was a "similar plan" within the meaning of Iowa statute providing an exemption for payments under a pension or similar plan.

<u>In re Holt</u>, 894 F.2d 1005 (8th Cir. 1990)

Arkansas statute governing exemptions for proceeds of life, health, accident and disability insurance was in direct conflict with overriding \$500 limitation imposed by Arkansas Constitution, and was thus unconstitutional.

<u>In re Peterson</u>, 897 F.2d 935 (8th Cir. 1990) Under North Dakota law, debtor's death eight months after filing bankruptcy petition did not constitute abandonment of homestead exemption or cause it to lapse and revert back to the bankruptcy estate.

<u>In re Smith</u>, 113 B. R. 579, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Debtor would be permitted to exempt under North Dakota law annuity policy purchased prebankruptcy with nonexempt personal injury insurance proceeds.

<u>In re Lippert</u>, 113 B. R. 576, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Chapter 7 debtor had not abandoned homestead at time petition was filed, and thus could claim exemption.

<u>In re Peterson</u>, 920 F.2d 1389 (8th Cir. 1991)

In re Wallerstedt, 930 F.3rd 630 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>Taylor v. Freeland & Kronz,</u> 112 S. Ct. 1644, 1992 WL 77247 (U.S. Pa.)

<u>In re Larson</u>, 143 B.R. 543 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

<u>In re Huebner</u>, 986 F.2d 1222 (8th Cir. 1993)

Chapter 7 debtors had good-faith basis under Minnesota statute for homestead exemption claimed for house built on real estate owned by one debtor's father.

Federal and state income tax refunds that debtors received when their employers withheld too much of their earnings were not themselves "earnings," within the meaning of Missouri exemption statute.

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that a Chapter 7 trustee could not assert an untimely challenge to the debtor's claimed exemption, even if the debtor did not have a colorable statutory basis for claiming the exemption.

Debtor's claimed exemptions under North Dakota law for nominal value in nearly every exempted asset were taken in bad faith, warranting disallowance.

A Chapter 7 debtor's flexible premium annuities did not come within an Iowa exemption for rights and payments under annuities "on account of" age.

<u>In re Schriock</u>, 192 B.R. 514 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1996)

<u>In re Craig</u>, 545 N.W.2d 764 (N.D. 1996)

Christians v. Dulas,

95 F.3d 703 (8th Cir. 1996)

Matter of Armstrong, 931 F.2d 1233 (8th Cir. 1991)

EXECUTION FARMERS

<u>In re Ziebarth</u>, 113 B. R. 591, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Urban lots that were separated, either by alley way or by city street, from lot on which Chapter 7 debtor's residence was located could not be included in debtor's homestead claim.

The monetary limitations of NDCC § 28-22-03.1 applies to <u>all</u> property listed in the section and hence is not unconstitutional.

Chapter 7 debtors' right of payment under annuity purchased by alleged tort-feasors as part of structured settlement in personal injury action was no "right of action" that debtors could claim as exempt under special Minnesota exemption for rights of actions for injuries to debtor's person or relative.

Discharge of Chapter 7 debtors was properly denied on grounds that debtors transferred their property with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud their creditors. person or relative.

Debtors lacked ability to confirm Chapter 12 reorganization plan, and thus creditor was entitled to relief from stay. <u>In re Ralph Faber Trust</u>, 113 B. R. 599 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

<u>In re Hanna</u>, 912 F.2d 945, (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Anderson</u>, 913 F.2d 530 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>Lee v. Yeutter</u>, 917 F.2d 1104 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>Stahn v. Haeckel</u>, 920 F.2d 555 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Fisher</u>, 930 F.2d 1361

Testamentary trust was mere land trust, rather than business trust, and thus not eligible for Chapter 12 relief.

Chapter 12 plan, which replaced lender's entire equity cushion in herd with second mortgage on agricultural land encumbered by first mortgage in excess of \$400,000, did not satisfy lien retention requirements.

Debtors did not make sufficient showing of possibility for successful reorganization, once creditor established debtor's lack of equity in collateral property, to avoid lifting of stay.

Farmers who had been granted discharge in bankruptcy were not "borrowers," within the meaning of the debt restructuring provisions of the Agricultural Credit Act.

Bankruptcy court had discretionary power to order Chapter 12 debtor to make pre-confirmation plan payments to trustee for real estate taxes.

Discount rate based upon

(8th Cir. 1991)

"market rate" formula should have been applied to determine the present value of allowed secured claim of lender which made loans at below-market interest rate, for cramdown purposes in Chapter 12 case.

<u>In re Graven</u>, 936 F.2d 378 (8th Cir. 1991)

Finding that Debtors had transferred property prior to their Chapter 12 filing with intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors was sufficiently supported by evidence permitting the dismissal or conversion of the case.

Reinbold v. Dewey County Bank, 942 F.2d 1302 (8th Cir. 1991)

Bankruptcy court's finding of fraud was sufficiently supported by the evidence and, therefore, conversion of case from Chapter 12 to Chapter 7 was proper.

<u>In re Oster</u>, 152 B.R. 960 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

Chapter 12 plan that relied on unrealistically optimistic projections was not feasible and was not entitled to confirmation.

<u>In re Kuether</u>, 158 B. R. 151 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

Chapter 12 plan that relied on future purchase of livestock by debtors whose only interest in farmstead was right of redemption was not feasible for confirmation purposes.

<u>In re Wagner</u>, 159 B.R. 268

Chapter 12 debtor could

(Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

<u>In re Miller</u>, 16 F.3d 240 (8th Cir. 1994)

Rowley v. Yarnall, 22 F.3d 190 (8th Cir. 1994)

<u>In re Foertsch</u>, 167 B.R. 555 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

<u>In re Wagner</u>, 36 F.3d 723, (8th Cir. 1994)

directly pay impaired claims without paying trustee's fee.

Chapter 12 debtors' mailing of notice of confirmation hearing to national office of FmHA, rather than to local office specified on FmHA's proof of claim, entitled FmHA to order setting aside confirmed plan.

Chapter 12 debtors were required to pay net disposable income generated during the plan period to unsecured creditors, where an objection to the plan was previously raised at its confirmation.

To provide creditor with payments equal to allowed amount of its oversecured claim, Chapter 12 plan had to provide for payment of interest at market rate of 12%. To be feasible a plan's projections must be pragmatic.

A chapter 12 plan, consistent with requirements of bankruptcy code, may provide for payment of impaired secured claims directly by debtor, without assistance of trustee and without payment of any fee. In re Wruck, 183 B.R. 862

Chapter 12 debtors could (Bankr. (Bankr. D.N.D. 1995) not modify confirmed plan to allow debtors, rather than standing trustee, to serve as disbursing agent for plan payments, despite Eighth Circuit's post-confirmation ruling that Chapter 12 plans may authorize debtors to be disbursing agents.

<u>In re Berger</u>, 61 F.3d 624 (8th Cir. 1995)

Chapter 12 debtors' race car expenses were disposable income, for purposes of determining amount they were required to pay unsecured creditors to obtain discharge.

<u>In re Honeyman</u>, 201 B.R. 533, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1996)

Proposed Chapter 12 plan, premised upon scaled-down farming operations with income from wheat and cattle sales, was not feasible and could not be confirmed.

<u>In re Hammrich</u>, 98 F.3d 388 (8th Cir. 1996)

Determining "disposable income" is a fact intensive inquiry with the ultimate finder resting with debtor to show they are contributing all disposable income to the plan.

Broken Bow Ranch, Inc. vs. Farmers Home Admin., 33 F.3d 1005 (8th Cir. 1994)

The amount by which the debtor's income exceeds their obligations at the end of their plan, after accountings for carryover funds sufficient to continue the farming operation,

is disposable income under § 1225(b)(2).

Harmon v. U.S. Acting Through Farmers Home 101 F.3rd 618 (8th Cir. 1996)

Chapter 12 permits debtor to Admin., "strip down" undersecured creditor's lien to value of collateral.

<u>Pelofsky v. Wallace</u>, 102 F.3d 350 (8th Cir. 1996)

The percentage fees for Chapter 12 trustees is based upon amount the trustee receives for disbursement to creditors and does not include sums received for trustee's fee.

FRAUD

<u>U. S. v. Taylor</u>, 907 F.2d 801 (8th Cir. 1990) "Exculpatory no" doctrine applied to preclude prosecution of debtor's husband for falsely denying any knowledge as to who had forged debtor's name on bankruptcy petition, notwithstanding that husband had received power of attorney to act on wife's behalf.

Central States Resources v. Leo Tobin Farms, 922 F.2d 490 (8th Cir. 1991) Mortgage debt assumed by debtor for corporation in which it had one-half interest could not be counted as debt of both debtor and corporation, in determining whether debtor was "insolvent" under Nebraska's Fraudulent Conveyance Act.

Mid-Tech Consulting, Inc. v. Swendra, 938 F.2d 885

Chapter 7 debtors' discharge would not be

(8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Jones</u>, 31 F.3d 659 (8th Cir. 1994)

<u>U.S. v. Wade</u>, 83 F.3d 196 (8th Cir. 1996)

FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS

<u>In re Janz</u>, 140 B.R. 256 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

Ramsay v. Vogel, 970 F.2d 471 (8th Cir. 1992) revoked on ground that it had been obtained through fraud, where objecting creditor knew of property and its omission from debtors' schedules before discharge.

Chapter 7 debtor-corporate president did not have "intent to deceive" oil company with respect to debt owed to bank and secured by corporation's inventory and accounts receivable when he omitted debt from corporate financial statements submitted to oil company to purchase gasoline on credit.

Bankruptcy fraud defendant, whose prosecution was undertaken by independent counsel on behalf of United States, failed to show that independent counsel statute was applied in unconstitutional manner in his case.

Chapter 7 debtors' prepetition transfer of farmland to son was avoidable fraudulent conveyance.

Bankruptcy Code provision allowing trustee to avoid sale of estate property, or recover damages, if the <u>In re Reeves</u>,65 F.3d 670 (8th Cir. 1995)

In re Bob's Sea Ray Boats, Inc., 144 B.R. 451 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

<u>In re Sherman</u>, 67 F.3d 1348, (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Young</u>, 82 F.3d 1407 (8th Cir. 1996)

sale price was controlled by an agreement among potential bidders applies to private sales as well as public auctions. Collusion among prospective bidders is the evil Congress intended to deal with in § 363(n).

To be liable as an
"initial transferee"
for purposes of §
550(a)(2), a party
must be more than a
conduit, it must exercise
dominion and control over
the property transferred.

Debtor's prepetition transfer of assets to its principal secured creditor was not avoidable as being actually or constructively fraudulent.

Chapter 7 debtors concealed transfers of properties to parents, so as to establish badge of fraud justifying avoidance of transfers as fraudulent, even though transfers were recorded as matter of public record.

Although Chapter 7 debtors' prepetition constributions to their church were avoidable because they were made for less than reasonably equivalent value, recovery of contributions by trustee would

violate the Religious
Freedom Restoration Act.
Note: The Religious Freedom
Restoration Act was declared
unconstitutional in <u>City of</u>
<u>Boerne v. P.F. Flores</u>,
U.S. __, 1997 WL 345322 (1997).

<u>In re Young</u>, 82 F.3d 1407 (8th Cir. 1996)

The concept of "value" for purposes of § 548(d)(2)(A) is not restricted to hard tangible economic benefits but requires an examination of all aspects of the transaction including the benefits and burdens to the debtor, direct or indirectincluding indirect economic benefits.

<u>In re Laver</u>, 98 F.3d 378 (8th Cir. 1996)

Absent evidence that the trustee cannot be relied upon, individual creditors do not have standing to assert claims of voidable transfers under § 548.

GUARANTY

<u>In re Dillon Const., Inc.,</u> 922 F.2d 495 (8th Cir. 1991) Breach of prior line of credit agreement was not material alteration of the principal obligation under subsequent note so as to discharge guarantors of the note.

HOMESTEAD

<u>In re Peterson</u>, 897 F.2d 935 (8th Cir. 1990) Under North Dakota law, debtor's death eight months after filing bankruptcy petition did not constitute abandonment

of homestead exemption or cause it to lapse and revert back to the bankruptcy estate.

<u>In re Lippert</u>, 113 B. R. 576, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Chapter 7 debtor had not abandoned homestead at time petition was filed, and thus could claim exemption.

<u>In re Peterson</u>, 920 F.2d 1389 (8th Cir. 1991) Chapter 7 debtors had good-faith basis under Minnesota statute for homestead exemption claimed for house built on real estate owned by one debtor's father.

<u>In re Gerrald</u>, 57 F.3d 652 (8th Cir. 1995)

Debtor-husband "abandoned" his former marital home and right to homestead exemption when, after he was involuntarily removed from home pursuant to restraining order entered in divorce action brought by wife, he voluntarily entered into agreement with wife for sale of home and division of proceeds.

Ries v. Thiesse, 61 F.3d 631 (8th Cir. 1995)

Under Minnesota law, abandonment results when debtor ceases to occupy homestead with intent to never return or with no intent to return to reside on property.

<u>In re Schriock</u>, 192 B.R. 514 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1996) Urban lots that were separated, either by alley way or by city street, from

lot on which Chapter 7 debtor's residence was located could not be included in debtor's homestead claim.

Secured lender's

IMPLIED AND CONSTRUCTIVE CONTRACTS

Millers Nat. Ins. v. Commercial Cr. Business Loans, 893 F.2d 165 (8th Cir. 1990)

> ruptcy trustee for warehouser were legally authorized and did not amount to "unjust enrichment" under North Dakota law or "conversion" of property of warehouser's surety as assignee of the North Dakota Public

Service Commission.

acquisition and retention

of proceeds from bank-

INDEMNITY

<u>In re Duncan</u>, 987 F.2d 490 (8th Cir. 1993)

Summary judgment could not be granted in favor of Chapter 7 trustee on claim that purchasers, who executed indemnification agreement in connection with purchase of stock from debtors, had obligation to indemnify debtors against bank's claim under note.

INJUNCTION

In re Commonwealth Companies, Inc., 913 F.2d 518 (8th Cir. 1990)

Fact that debtor corporations had not engaged in any business activity postpetition did not render inapplicable <u>Celotex Corp. v. Edwards</u>, 514 U.S. 300, 115 S.Ct. 1493 (1995)

INSURANCE

Gibralter Sav. v. Commonwealth Land Title Ins. Co., 905 F.2d 1203 (8th Cir. 1990)

Crum & Forster Managers Corp. v. Basin Elec. Power, 911 F.2d 155 (8th Cir. 1990)

INTEREST

subparagraph excepting from stay an action by a governmental unit to enforce its police or regulatory power.

Bankruptcy Courts are vested with comprehensive jurisdiction which includes power to issue injunctions in matters "related to" a Chapter 11 case.

Bankruptcy court's refusal to lift automatic stay in order to allow mortgage foreclosure was not a "loss," within meaning of title policy insuring against losses resulting from bankruptcy, in that there had not been any final judicial determination adverse to the mortgagees' interest.

Conduct of insured's officers and directors in filing bankruptcy petition against a debtor of the insured in order to allow insured to draw on letter of credit which had been procured by debtor and was about to expire was prudent and not negligent, and thus was not a "wrongful act" within coverage of nonprofit organization liability policy.

<u>In re Roso</u>, 76 F.3d 179 (8th Cir. 1996)

INTERNAL REVENUE

<u>U. S. v. Standard State Bank,</u> 905 F.2d 185 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>Laughlin v. U.S. I.R.S.</u>, 912 F.2d 197 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Bentley</u>, 916 F.2d 431 (8th Cir. 1990)

INVOLUNTARY PROCEEDINGS

A "market rate of interest" required by § 1325 does not include FmHA's subsidized interest rate. The term is the rate that would be offered to the debtor by a commercial lender.

Bankruptcy court's order dismissing Chapter 11 case after granting secured creditor, which had security interest in debtor's inventory and accounts receivable, relief from automatic stay was an order for cause under 11 U.S.C.A. § 349(b)(3), precluding reinstatement of federal tax lien on inventory and accounts receivable.

Anti-Injunction Act of Internal Revenue Code barred entry of order requiring IRS to provide more detail in notice of levy or to file adversary proceeding before filing notice of levy.

Chapter 7 estate's liability for tax on gain from sale of corn crop and on interest earned on the sale proceeds was not abrogated by abandonment of property by Chapter 7 trustee.

Crum & Forster Managers Corp.
v. Basin Elec. Power,
911 F.2d 155 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Rimell</u>, 946 F.2d 1363 (8th Cir. 1991)

JOINT BANK ACCOUNTS

Ackley State Bank v. Thielke, 920 F.2d 521 (8th Cir. 1991)

JUDGMENT AND PROCEDURE

<u>In re Lull Corp.</u>, 52 F.3d 787 (8th Cir. 1995)

Conduct of insured's officers and directors in filing bankruptcy petition against a debtor of the insured in order to allow insured to draw on letter of credit which had been procured by debtor and was about to expire was prudent and not negligent, and thus was not a "wrongful act" within coverage of nonprofit organization liability policy.

Bona fide dispute did not exist as to banks' claims against alleged debtors which would preclude banks from filing involuntary petitions.

Under Iowa law, debtor had no present vested interest in joint bank accounts established by his uncle which would allow bank to set off debtor's obligations against account funds.

District court could enter summary judgment in favor of trustee in adversary proceeding to recover sums owing on unpaid intercompany account only after court had first addressed all of the

affirmative defenses raised by defendant, including setoff defense.

In re Jones Truck Lines, Inc., 63 F.3d 685 (8th Cir. 1995)

Creditor's delay in filing answer to debtor's preference complaint was result of excusable neglect, warranting relief from default judgment.

<u>In re Ellis</u>, 72 F.3d 628 (8th Cir. 1995)

In the context of excusable neglect as grounds for a jury trial in an action alleging a preferential transfer between the debtor and a third-party creditor.

In re Drewes v. Zip Feed Mills, Inc., 119 B.R. 197 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Bankruptcy judge lacked express or implicit authority to conduct jury trial in proceeding brought by trustee against third-party creditors.

<u>In re Tranel</u>, 940 F.2d 1168 (8th Cir. 1991)

Proposed action by Chapter 11 trustee against creditor for fraud in allegedly manipulating value of collateral so as to gain control of debtors" farming and trucking operations was core proceeding.

<u>Abramowitz v. Palmer</u>, 999 F.2d 1274 (8th Cir. 1993)

Bankruptcy court had "related to" jurisdiction over claim against debtor"s spouse by purchaser of debtor"s dental practice for imposition of constructive trust over assets purchased <u>In re Ragar</u>, 3 F.3d 1174 (8th Cir. 1993)

Keenihan v. Heritage Press 19 F.3rd 1255 (8th Cir. 1994)

<u>Landscape Properties, Inc. v.</u> <u>Vogel</u>, 46 F.3d 1415 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>Smith v. Dowden</u>, 47 F.3d 940 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>Celotex Corp. v. Edwards</u>, 514 U.S. 300, 115 S.Ct. 1493 (1995) from sale proceeds.

Bankruptcy jurisdictions have criminal contempt power to the extent that they may recommend to a district court that persons be held in criminal contempt.

It is improper for bankruptcy court to assume jurisdiction over case simply because there is debt involved; in short, there must first be valid jurisdiction over matter before bankruptcy court can proceed.

A cause of action for damages under section 363(n) is comparable to damage claims for recovery of preferences and the claim is therefore inherently legal in nature entitling the defendants to a jury trial.

A proof of claim is revocable and a creditor may withdraw its proof of claim, the jurisdictional effect of which, is to restore the creditor"s right to jury trial.

Bankruptcy Courts are vested with comprehensive jurisdiction which includes power to issue injunctions Specialty Mills, Inc. v. Citizens State Bank, 51 F.3d 770 (8th Cir. 1995)

In re Jones Truck Lines, Inc., 57 F.3rd 642 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Goetzman</u>, 91 F.3d 1173 (8th Cir. 1996)

LANDFILLS

In re Southeast Arkansas Landfill, Inc., 981 F.2d 372 in matters "related to" a Chapter 11 case.

Malicious prosecution, abuse of process, and tortious interference claims asserted by Chapter 7 debtor"s lessee against debtor"s creditor, for moving to stay lessee from removing its equipment from debtor"s mill, were not within district court"s "related to" jurisdiction.

The Negotiated Rates Act applies to bankrupt motor carriers, the U.S. Bankruptcy Code not withstanding.

Under the Rooker-Feldman doctrine, lower federal courts lack jurisdiction to engage in appellate review of state court determinations. A confirmed plan, incorporating stipulated terms, generated a dispute over the amount due and debtors filed suit in state court for specific performance with the lender filing for foreclosure. The resulting state court decision determined the amount due on the mortgage and precluded federal review.

Arkansas statutes limiting amount of solid waste

(8th Cir. 1993)

generated outside boundaries of regional solid waste planning district that could be accepted by landfills within district violated commerce clause.

LEASES

In re Gateway Investors, Ltd., 113 B. R. 564 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

<u>In re Modern Textile, Inc.</u>, 900 F.2d 1184 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Larson</u>, 128 B.R. 257 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

In re Bob"s Sea Ray Boats, Inc., 143 B.R. 229 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992) Lessors who never received notice of lease-hold mortgagee"s address were not required to obtain mortgagee"s prior written consent to termination of ground leases for shopping center.

Rejection of sublease by debtor-lessee"s trustee in bankruptcy operated as a breach of lessee"s legal obligation, not as the extinction thereof, and even though the Bankruptcy Code limited amount which lessor could claim against lessee"s estate following the rejection, lessor could still look to the guarantors of lessee"s obligations.

Under North Dakota law, equipment leases constituted "true leases," rather than conditional sales contracts.

Bancruptcy Code provision limiting damages

recoverable from debtor by lessor for breach of real property lease limits only damages anticipated as result of debtor"s failure to complete lease term.

LETTER OF CREDIT

<u>Heartline Farms, Inc. v. Daly,</u> 934 F.2d 985 (8th Cir. 1991)

In re Howell Enterprises, Inc., 934 F.2d 969 (8th Cir. 1991)

LIEN AVOIDANCE

<u>In re Dueis</u>, 130 B.R. 83 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

<u>In re Knudson</u>, 943 F.2d 877 (8th Cir. 1991)

In re Woods Farmers Co-op. Elevator Co., 946 F.2d 1411 (8th Cir. 1991)

Installment contract for sale of farmland was a "security device," rather than an "executory contract" subject to assumption or rejection.

Creditor"s perfected security interest in debtor"s accounts receivable did not attach to letter of credit.

Hospital's lien for value of services rendered to Chapter 7 debtor was validly perfected.

Trustee could not avoid postpetition security interest granted by debtors to creditor and approved by court, even if, under state law, preexisting debt could not provide adequate consideration for security interest.

North Dakota statutory lien on proceeds of grain deposited at debtor"s warehouse could not be avoided under Bankruptcy Code provision permitting <u>In re Nies</u>, 183 B.R. 866 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1995)

LIENS

In re Woods Farmers Co-op. Elevator Co., 107 B. R. 689 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1989)

<u>In re Strom</u>, 921 F.2d 836 (8th Cir. 1991)

Johnson v. Home State Bank, 501 U.S. 78, 111 S. Ct. 2150 (1991) trustee to avoid a statutory lien to the extent the lien is unenforceable against a bona fide purchaser.

Chapter 7 trustee could not avoid mortgagee"s security interest in debtors" real property, even if recorded mortgage did not meet requirements for recordation under North Dakota law.

North Dakota receipt holder"s warehouse was avoidable statutory lien.

Judgment creditor"s registration of notice of lis pendens on title to registered property was insufficient to create lien on the property under Minnesota law, where judgment creditor had failed to file certified copy of judgment.

A mortgage lien securing an obligation for which a debtor"s personal liability has been discharged in a Chap. 7 liquidation is a "claim" within the meaning of § 101(5) and is subject to inclusion in an approved Chap. 13 reorganization Plan.

<u>In re Dueis</u>, 130 B. R. 83 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

In re Woods Farmers Co-op. Elevator Co., 946 F.2d 1411 (8th Cir. 1991)

In re Da-Sota Elevator Co., 135 B.R. 917 (Bankr. D.N.D.1991) Congress intended in § 101(5) to incorporate the broadest available definition of "claim", see Pennsylvania Dept. of Public Welfare v. Davenport, 495 U.S. __. ... 904 F. 2d 563, reversed and remanded.

Hospital's lien for value of services rendered to Chapter 7 debtor was validly perfected.

North Dakota statutory lien on proceeds of grain deposited at debtor"s warehouse could not be avoided under Bankruptcy Code provision permitting trustee to avoid a statutory lien to the extent the lien is unenforceable against a bona fide purchaser. validly perfected.

"Lien" was created in garnishment funds under North Dakota law upon service of garnishment summons and disclosure statement, which was outside of requisite 90-day preference period, and thus, check from garnishee, issued to both Chapter 7 debtor and garnishor within 90-day preference period, and endorsed by debtor to garnishor within

<u>In re Branson Mall, Inc.</u>, 970 F.2d 456 (8th Cir. 1992)

Mead v. Mead, 974 F.2d 990 (8th Cir. 1992)

In re Fairfield Communities, Inc., 990 F.2d 1075 (8th Cir. 1993)

preference period, was not avoidable as preference.

Architect"s rendering services to developer in connection with construction and improvement of buildings in Missouri was "practice of architecture," within meaning of Missouri statutory lien law, requiring architect to be registered in order to enforce lien.

When lien, which Chapter 13 debtor"s ex-husband had been granted in divorce decree and which had been released by quitclaim deed, was reinstated on grounds of fraud, debtor could not avoid that lien on her homestead under Bankruptcy Code"s lien avoidance provision relying on Farrey v. Sanderfoot.

Contractors, which altered land by hauling in 400 tons of sand and gravel to shape each green on golf course and created cart paths, did work that "improved the realty" and thus, were entitled to mechanics" liens under Tennessee law.

<u>U.S. v. Olson</u>, 4 F.3d 562 (8th Cir. 1993)

Attorneys cannot claim an equitable lien or judicial lien in funds not in possession absent a state statute providing for the same.

<u>Harmon v. U.S., Acting Through Farmers</u> <u>Home Admin.</u>, 101 F.3d 574 (8th Cir. 1996) Chapter 12 permits debtor to "strip down" undersecured creditor"s lien to value of collateral.

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS

<u>U.S. v. Tri-State Ins. Co.</u> of Minnesota, 946 F.2d 581 (8th Cir. 1991) Anonymous tip to representativies of Commodity Credit Corp., indicating that a public grain warehouseman had overestimated its net worth, did not trigger running of six-year limitations period on Government"s claims against sureties of bankrupt warehouse.

McCuskey v. Central Trailer Services, Ltd., 37 F.3d 1329 (8th Cir. 1994) Statute of limitations on preference avoidance claim ran from date of Chapter 11 trustee"s appointment, not from Chapter 7 trustee"s appointment following conversion of case.

LIQUIDATION

In re Eberhart Moving & Storage, Ltd., 120 B. R. 121 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Involuntary Chapter 7 petition was filed in bad faith.

MINES AND MINERALS

In re Great Plains Petroleum, Inc., 113 B. R. 570 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

MORTGAGES

Page v. City of Duluth, 945 F.2d 241 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Larson</u>, 979 F.2d 625 (8th Cir. 1992)

<u>In re Nies</u>, 183 B.R. 866 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1995)

In re Oxford Development, Ltd., 67 F.3d 683 (8th Cir. 1995)

Noninterest operator of oil and salt water disposal wells was not liable to working interest owner for breach of operating agreement.

Mortgagee which bid entire amount of mortgage debt at foreclosure sale was barred, under Minnesota"s anti-deficiency statute, from claiming interest in the proceeds from sale of mortgagor"s tenant"s property.

Mortgagee"s filing of addendum for North Dakota "collateral real estate mortgage" did not violate automatic stay.

Chapter 7 trustee could not avoid mortgagee"s security interest in debtors" real property, even if recorded mortgage did not meet requirements for recordation under North Dakota law.

State law controls foreclosure even where it takes place with approval of federal bankruptcy court. Where equitable, the bankruptcy court should enforce federal and state doctrines of marshaling of assets.

NEW TRIAL

<u>In re Higginbotham</u>, 719 F.2d 1130 (8th Cir. 1991)

NOTICE

<u>In re Peterson</u>, 929 F.2d 385 (8th Cir. 1991)

Pioneer Inv. Services Co. v. Brunswick Assoc., 507 U.S. 380, (1993)

<u>In re Miller</u>, 16 F.3d 240 (8th Cir. 1994)

OIL AND GAS

Debtor waived right to new trial, after judge hearing evidence died and successor judge was appointed, by failing to object to successor judge"s procedure in deciding case.

Bank received actual notice of amended exemption schedule claiming homestead exemption when bank received copy of trustee"s objection to the amended schedule, for purpose of determining timeliness of bank"s objection.

An attorneys inadvertent failure to file a proof 113 S. Ct. 1489 of claim by the bar date may constitute "excusable neglect" within the meaning of Rule 9006(b)(1). The Supreme Court discusses excusable neglect under Rule 6(b) Fed. R. Civ. P. and Rule 9006 of Fed. R. Bankr. Proc.

Chapter 12 debtors" mailing of confirmation hearing to national office of FmHA, rather than to local office specified on FmHA"s proof of claim, entitled FmHA to order setting aside confirmed plan.

Moore & Munger Marketing and Refining, Inc. v. Hawkins, 962 F.2d 806 (8th Cir. 1992)

Lessee of Chapter 7 debtor"s oil pipeline system, seeking a determination that it did not owe the full contract price for purchase of "division orders," was not entitled to adjustment in contract price.

PARTNERSHIP

<u>In re 9221 Associates</u>, 973 F.2d 671 (8th Cir. 1992) Note and deed of trust given by debtor"s general partner were unauthorized and not enforceable against debtor.

PENSIONS

<u>In re Vickers</u>, 954 F.2d 1426 (8th Cir. 1992) ERISA does not preempt Missouri exemption statute which permits debtors to exempt reasonably necessary pension benefits.

<u>In re Conlan</u>, 974 F.2d 88 (8th Cir. 1992)

Anti-alienation provision in pension plans constituted restriction on transfer enforceable under applicable nonbankruptcy law, allowing pension plans to be excluded from estate... follows <u>Patterson</u> v. Shumate and In re Green.

PLEADING

Reiter v. Cooper, 507 U.S. 258, 113 S.Ct. 1213 (1993) Rules 8 and 54 are fully applicable in adversary proceedings by Bankruptcy Rule 7008 and 7054 and an

Adversary Proceeding defendant can meet a plaintiff-debtor claim with a counterclaim arising out of the same transaction at least to the extent of recoupment.

POST-PETITION TRANSACTIONS

<u>In re Russell</u>, 927 F.2d 413 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>Stoebner v. Murray</u>, 91 F.3d 1091 (8th Cir. 1996)

PREFERENCES

<u>In re Roehrich</u>, 107 B. R. 675 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1989)

<u>In re Muncrief</u>, 900 F.2d 1220, (8th Cir. 1990)

Debtor-in-possession"s election to carry forward net operating losses was an unauthorized post-petition transfer, voidable by trustee if not in the ordinary course of business.

Collateral estoppel did not bar litigation of a turnover order because none of the issues concerning the origin of the funds had been actually litigated or determined by a prior decision.

For preferential transfer purposes, presentment and honor of checks delivered outside preference period but cashed inside period was timely.

Finding that debtor was insolvent at time he made certain transfers was not clearly erroneous, for purposes of ruling on propriety of bankruptcy court"s denial of trustee"s petitions to set aside

<u>In re Lewellyn & Co., Inc.,</u> 929 F.2d 424 (8th Cir. 1991)

Matter of Kroh Bros.
Development Co., 930 F.2d 648 (8th Cir. 1991)

Lovett v. St. Johnsbury Trucking, 931 F.2d 494 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Willaert</u>, 944 F.2d 463 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>Union Bank v. Wolas</u>, 502 U.S. 151, 112 S. Ct. 527 (1991) debtor"s allegedly preferential and fraudulent conveyances.

Debtor"s transfer of stock to organization in lieu of timely cash payment of his \$8 million obligation came within the contemporaneous exchange for new value exception to avoidance of preferential transfers.

Preferential "transfer" occurs, for purposes of "new value" exception to trustee"s preference-avoiding powers, immediately upon delivery of check, without regard to when it is paid.

Payments made by debtor to common carrier during preference period came within the ordinary course of business exception to trustee"s power to avoid preferential transfers.

Mere fact that mortgaged property had been sold to good-faith purchaser did not preclude avoidance of mortgage as preferential, in order to permit trustee to recover sale proceeds from mortgagee.

Payments on long-term debt, as well as those on shortterm debt, may qualify In re Da-Sota Elevator Co., 135 B.R. 873 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

Barnhill v. Johnson, 503 U.S. 393, 112 S. Ct. 1386 (1992)

<u>In re Vatnsdal</u>, 139 B.R. 471 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

In re Interior Wood Products Co., 986 F.2d 228 (8th Cir. 1993)

for ordinary course of business exception to trustee"s power to avoid preferential transfers.

Transfer of Chapter 7 debtor"s property to garnishor occurred upon service of garnishment summons and disclosure statement, rather than upon debtor"s endorsement of check issued to debtor and garnishor by garnishee, for preference purposes.

The United States Supreme Court has ruled that for preference purposes a transfer made by check is deemed to occur on the day the check is honored.

Mortgage granted by debtor to brother at time brother made loan, but not recorded until more than ten months later, did not fall within substantially contemporaneous exchange for new value exception to avoidability of preferences.

Buyer"s prepetition payment of Chapter 7 debtor"s debt to unsecured creditors as part of buyer"s acquisition of debtor"s assets constituted transfer of debtor"s property, and, thus, the payment could be avoided as preferential. In re U.S.A. Inns of Eureka Springs, Arkansas, Inc., 9 F.3d 680 (8th Cir. 1994)

Harstad v. First American Bank, 39 F.3d 898 (8th Cir. 1994)

In re Jones Truck Lines, Inc. v. Full Service Leasing Corp. 63 F.3d 685 (8th Cir. 1995)

Southern Technical College, Inc.

Focus of requirement that payment be made according to ordinary business terms in relevant industry, for coming within ordinary business exception to statute avoiding preferential transfers, is on whether terms between parties were particularly unusual in relevant industry; evidence of prevailing practice among similarly situated members of industry facing same or similar problems is sufficient to satisfy transferee"s burden of proof. Bankr.Code 11 USCA § 547(c)(2)(C).

A Chap. 11 debtor may not motion a post-confirmation preference action unless the plan itself provides for the retention and enforcement of [that claim or intent] by the debtor and it is clear that any recovery will benefit creditors.

Jury instruction on new value exception to preference avoidance was not error, even though jury was not told to consider benefit conferred by debtor-common carrier"s continued use of leased equipment.

Chapter 11 debtor-college

v. Hood, 89 F.3d 1381 (8th Cir. 1996)

meaning of subsequent advance exception to trustee"s preference avoidance power when it continued to use leased properties for nearly two months without paying rent, and therefore prior late rent payments could not be avoided to extent that new value remained unsecured and unpaid for.

received "new value" within

<u>In re Laver</u>, 98F.3d 378 (8th Cir. 1996) Absent evidence that the trustee cannot be relied upon, individual creditors do not have standings to assert claims of voidable transfers under § 548.

Laws v. United Missouri Bank of Kansas City, N.A., 98 F.3d 1047 (8th Cir. 1996) A bank"s advance on uncollected deposits is a service decision, not a credit decision, with the bank acting as a conduit for depositor"s financial transactions, not as a creditor. Therefore routine advances against uncollected deposits do not give rise to a preference.

<u>In re Beasley</u>, 102 F.3d 334 (8th Cir. 1996) affirmed ____ U.S. ___ 1998 WL 7076

State relation-back statutes are inapplicable to preference-avoidance analysis under § 547(c)(3)(B).

PRIORITY

In re Northwest Financial Exp., Inc., 950 F.2d 561 (8th Cir. 1991) Claims of stores which sold Chapter 11 debtor"s private money orders to customers, and which obtained assignments from <u>In re Larsen</u>, 59 F.3d 783 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re L. J. O"Neill Shoe Co.,</u> 64 F.3d 1146 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re HLM Corp.</u>, 62 F.3d 224 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Mickelson</u>, 192 B.R. 516 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1996)*

customers subsequent to dishonor of money orders, were not entitled to priority status under statute.

Statute according priority of distribution to administrative expense claims accorded priority only to claims allowed in pending Chapter 7 case, not in cases dismissed almost three years earlier.

Construing § 503(b)(1)(B) (i) and 507(a)(7)(iii), court holds that portions of state tax claims relating to debtors prepetition corporate income were not administrative expenses, but were entitled only to seventh priority as taxes not assessed prepetition but assessable post petition. Subsection (iii) addresses only prepetition taxable activity or events.

Unpaid prepetition premiums under Minnesota workers" compensation scheme were not "contributions to an employee benefit plan," entitled to priority status under § 507(a)(4).

Even if debtor-seed company was grain storage creditor-producers who sold grain to seed company

were not entitled to priority status because creditors did not retain title to grain.

*affirmed 205 B.R. 190 (D.N.D. 1997)

United States v. Reorganized CF&I Fabricators of Utah, 518 U.S. 213; 116 S.Ct. 2106 (1996)

In re Juvenile Shoe Corp. of America, 99 F.3d 898 (8th Cir. 1996)

PROCEDURE

<u>In re Duncan</u>, 987 F.2d 490 (8th Cir. 1993)

The exaction tax imposed under 26 USCA § 4971 on accumulated pensions funding deficiencies is not an "excise tax" entitled to priority under § 507. It is an ordinary unsecured claim.

Whether an IRS assessment is
"excise tax" or "penalty"
requires looking beyond the
label to how it operates. Here
court defines "tax", "excise tax"
and "penalty" for priority
purposes and determines that a
flat tax levied on surplus funds
remaining after employer
liquidated its overfunded
pension plan was an "excise tax"
entitled to a seventh priority.

Summary judgment could not be granted in favor of Chapter 7 trustee on claim that purchasers, who executed indemnification agreement in connection with purchase of stock from debtors, had obligation to indemnify debtors against bank"s claim under note. <u>In re Mathiason</u>, 16 F.3rd 234 (8th Cir. 1994)

<u>In re Kjellsen</u>, 53 F.3d 944 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Martwick</u>, 60 F.3d 482 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Jones Truck Lines, Inc.</u>, 63 F.3d 685 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>Veltman v. Whetzal</u>, 99 F.3d 517 (8th Cir. 1996)

When an objection to claim is joined with a request that the court "determine the status" of the claim the litigator becomes an adversary proceeding and all issues relative to claim validity must be raised or will be regarded as waived.

Debtor"s daughter who had been given durable power of attorney could not file Chapter 13 petition on debtor"s behalf after guardian for debtor"s estate was appointed.

Denial of Chapter 11
debtors" motion for
continuance of expedited
hearing on secured
creditor"s motions for
relief from automatic
stay and to dismiss case
was not abuse of
discretion.

Creditor"s delay in filing answer to debtor"s preference complaint was result of excusable neglect, warranting relief from default judgment.

Bankruptcy court order authorizing Chapter 7 trustee to sell estate property free and clear of all liens, encumbrances, and interests provided co-owners of estate property with

sufficient notice that property was to be sold free and clear of their interest.

PROCESS

<u>In re Peterson</u>, 929 F.2d 385 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>Pioneer Inv. Services Co. v.</u> <u>Brunswick Assoc.</u>, 507 U.S. 380, 113 S. Ct. 1489 (1993)

<u>In re Brucker</u>, 150 B.R. 746 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

<u>In re Harlow Fay, Inc.</u>, 993 F.2d 1351 (8th Cir. 1993) Bank received actual notice of amended exemption schedule claiming homestead exemption when bank received copy of trustee"s objection to the amended schedule, for purpose of determining timeliness of bank"s objection.

An attorneys inadvertent failure to file a proof of claim by the bar date may constitute "excusable neglect" within the meaning of Rule 9006(b)(1). The Supreme Court discusses excusable neglect under Rule 6(b) Fed. R. Civ. P. and Rule 9006 of Fed. R. Bankr. Proc.

Use of mails did not give creditor additional three days in which to file nondischargeability complaint.

Chapter 11 debtor"s counsel"s financial pressures, relocation and staff reduction did not establish the excusable neglect required for granting extension of time for filing appellate

<u>In re Miller</u>, 16 F.3d 240 (8th Cir. 1994)

PROOF OF CLAIM

<u>In re Gran</u>, 964 F.2d 822 (8th Cir. 1992)

In re Pioneer Inv. Services v. Brunswick Associates, 113 S. Ct. 1489 (1993)

PROPERTY OF ESTATE

Ackley State Bank v. Thielke, 920 F.2d 521 (8th Cir. 1991)

brief on appeal from dismissal of bankruptcy petition.

Chapter 12 debtors" mailing of notice of confirmation hearing to national office of FmHA, rather than to local office specified on FmHA"s proof of claim, entitled FmHA to order setting aside confirmed plan.

When taxpayer introduces evidence that refutes government"s proof of claim in bankruptcy proceeding, any burden shifting to government of coming forward with relevant evidence involves only those elements that taxpayer has challenged. Bankr. Code, 11 U.S.C.A. § 502.

Attorney"s inadvertent failure to file a proof of claim by the bar date can constitute "excusable neglect."

Under Iowa law, debtor had no present vested interest in joint bank accounts established by his uncle which would allow bank to set off debtor"s obligations against account funds.

In re Da-Sota Elevator Co., 939 F.2d 654 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Garner</u>, 952 F.2d 232 (8th Cir. 1991)

Patterson v. Shumate, 504 U.S. 753 112 S.Ct. 2242 (1992)

<u>In re Green</u>, 967 F.2d 1216 (8th Cir. 1992)

Debtor"s elevator maintenance contracts were property of the estate and could not be transferred to insiders for less than reasonably equivalent value.

Stock owned by debtor and non-debtor spouse, who were joint obligors on a debt, as tenants by the entireties was part of the debtor"s bankruptcy estate. value.

The U.S. Supreme Court has held that a Chapter 7 debtor"s interest in an ERISA-qualified plan was excluded from the bankruptcy estate under § 541(c)(2). Section 541(c)(2) provides that a debtor"s bankruptcy estate does not include the debtor"s beneficial interest in a trust if the trust is subject to transfer restrictions that are enforceable under "applicable nonbankruptcy law."

Anti-alienation provision required for ERISA qualification constitutes enforceable transfer restriction for purposes of Bankruptcy Code"s exclusion of property from estate.

(Follows Patterson v.

<u>Schumate</u> and overrules <u>Graham</u> 726 F.2d 1268.)

<u>In re Trout</u>, 146 B.R. 823 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

Under Montana law, Chapter 7 trustee"s constructive notice of debtor"s former wife"s interest in property when she was in sole possession of premises precluded trustee from using strong-arm powers as bona fide purchaser to avoid debtor"s prepetition transfer by quitclaim deed of his interest to former wife.

<u>In re Larson</u>, 147 B.R. 39 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

Stock options issued to debtor as remuneration for his involvement in corporation for coming fiscal year constituted postpetition wages excluded from property of estate.

Evans v. FDIC, 981 F.2d 978 (8th Cir. 1993)

Any right of debtor to interpleaded fund, representing surplus remaining at conclusion of bankruptcy case, was subordinate to other claims.

Security Bank of Marshalltown, Iowa v. Neiman, 1 F.3d 687 (8th Cir. 1993) The Chapter 13 estate continues to exist as a legal entity after confirmation even if, pursuant to § 1327, property of the estate has vested in the debtor.

Drewes v. Schonteich,

Agreements under which

31 F.3d 674 (8th Cir. 1994)

settlor gave cash and stock to charitable institution that agreed to pay settlor"s caretaker monthly payments which were non-assignable and terminated at caretaker"s death complied with California spendthrift trust law, and, thus, were excluded from caretaker"s bankruptcy estate.

Whetzal v. Alderson, 32 F.3d 1302, (8th Cir. 1994)

Chapter 7 debtor-former federal employee"s right to receive lump-sum retirement benefits did not make retirement benefits estate property.

<u>In re Markmueller</u>, 51 F.3d 775 (8th Cir. 1995)

Trust assets were includable in settlor"s Chapter 7 estate because spendthrift provisions were invalid under Missouri law.

In re Central Arkansas Broadcasting Co., 68 F.3d 213 (8th Cir. 1995) Scope of § 541(a)(1) is very broad and Chapter 7 debtor"s radio station operating license was estate property, even though debtor did not own license, because license could be transferred by debtor for consideration with FCC approval.

In re Rine & Rine Auctioneers, Inc., 74 F.3d 848 (8th Cir. 1996) Under Nebraska law, because Chapter 7 debtor-auctioneer was not agent of its customer once auction proceeds were property of debtor"s bankruptcy estate. In re Rine & Rine Auctioneers, Inc., 74 F.3d 854 (8th Cir. 1996) Under Nebraska law, because Chapter 7 debtorauctioneer was not customer"s agent at time auction proceeds were deposited in debtor"s bank account and then paid to customer and bank, proceeds were estate property that trustee could recover as preferences.

In re Fairfield Pagosa, Inc., 97 F.3d 247 (8th Cir. 1996)

Chapter 11 debtor-developer, not property owners" association owned equitable interest in development"s recreational amenities.

RECEIVERS

Aviation Supply Corp. v. R.S.B.I. Aerospace, Inc., 999 F.2d 314 (8th Cir. 1993) Judgment debtor"s pattern of willful non-disclosure of assets, false disclosures, and transfer to avoid tenacious judgment creditor warranted appointment of receiver for the judgment debtor.

RECLAMATION

In re Pester Refining Co., 964 F.2d 842 (8th Cir. 1992)

Reclaiming seller is entitled to more than "garage sale" price that its goods would bring if resold not in the ordinary course of business, and may have right to reclaim at full invoice price of goods.

REMAND

<u>In re Karlen</u>, 934 F.2d 184 (8th Cir. 1991)

On remand to bankruptcy court following district

REORGANIZATION

<u>In re Toibb</u>, 902 F.2d 737 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>Toibb v. Radloff,</u> 501 U.S. 157, 111 S. Ct. 2197 (1991) decision that judgment creditor was entitled to satisfy its judgment from time investor certificates, bankruptcy court had no authority other than to direct surrender of the certificates.

Bankruptcy court could sua sponte dismiss Chapter 11 petition on ground that debtor was not engaged in an ongoing business.

Held: The Bankruptcy Code"s plain language permits individual debtors not engaged in business to file for relief under Chap. 11. Toibb is a debtor within the meaning of sec. 109(d), which provides that "a person who may be a debtor broker, and a railroad may be a debtor under chap. 11." He is a person who may be a Chap. 7 debtor, since only railroads and various financial and insurance institutions are excluded from Chapter 7"s coverage, and § 109(d) makes Chap. 11 available to all entities eligible for Chap. 7 protection, Chap. 11"s structure and legislative history indicate that it contains no ongoing business requirement for Chap. 11 reorganization; and there is no basis, including

underlying policy considerations, for imposing one. 902 F.2d 14, reversed.

<u>In re Kerr</u>, 908 F.2d 400, (8th Cir. 1990)

Chapter 11 plan which allowed debtors to retain valuable real estate while paying far less than what was owed to creditors was "unfair to creditors: and could not be confirmed.

<u>In re Anderson</u>, 913 F.2d 530 (8th Cir. 1990)

Debtors did not make sufficient showing of possibility for successful reorganization, once creditor established debtor"s lack of equity in collateral property, to avoid lifting of stay.

<u>In re Tranel</u>, 940 F.2d 1168 (8th Cir. 1991)

Proposed action by Chapter 11 trustee against creditor for fraud in allegedly manipulating value of collateral so as to gain control of debtors" farming and trucking operations was core proceeding.

<u>In re Roso</u>, 76 F.3d 179 (8th Cir. 1996)

A "market rate of interest" required by § 1325 does not include FmHA"s subsidized interest rate. The term is the rate that would be offered to the debtor by a commercial lender.

In re D & P Partnership, 91 F.3d 1072 Once a plan has been confirmed, bankruptcy

(8th Cir. 1996)

RES JUDICATA

<u>Lane v. Peterson</u>, 899 F.2d 737 (8th Cir. 1990)

Armstrong v. Norwest Bank, Minneapolis, N.A., 964 F.2d 797 (8th Cir. 1992)

RESTITUTION

<u>U. S. v. Vetter</u>, 895 F.2d 456, (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Knodle</u>, 187 B.R. 660 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1995)

court jurisdiction is explicitly retained for interpretation and administration.

Adverse judgment in Chapter 11 debtors" suit against bankruptcy panelists, for breach of fiduciary duty under state law by acquiring debtors" stock in their company and failing to advise debtors of third parties" interest in purchasing the company, was res judicata barring subsequent suit against panelists for violation of various federal laws arising out of same conduct.

Chapter 7 trustee, following conversion of case from Chapter 11, was bound by acts of debtor-in-possession in entering into stipulations with regard to cash collateral and by decisions of courts regarding such stipulations.

Restitution order of federal court in criminal prosecution was exempt from discharge pursuant to § 523(a)(7).

Criminal restitution obligation imposed

as condition of Chapter 7 debtor"s criminal sentence came within discharge exception for fine, penalty, or forfeiture payable to governmental unit.

SANCTIONS

<u>In re Trout</u>, 108 B.R. 235 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1989)

Debtor"s counsel would be sanctioned for failure to disclose complete settlement terms between debtor and creditor.

SECURED TRANSACTIONS

In re Woods Farmers Co-op Elevator Co., 107 B. R. 678 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1989)

in and to proceeds of grain stored in debtor"s warehouse was invalid to extent that commingled fungible grains were needed to satisfy outstanding warehouse receipt

Secured creditors interest

holders.

<u>In re Chapman</u>, 113 B. R. 561, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Creditor's possession of manufacturer's statement of origin was insufficient under North Dakota law to perfect security interest in automobile.

<u>In re Koppinger</u>, 113 B. R. 588, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Perfected security interest in accounts receivable for sale of motor fuel was not broad enough to encompass amounts representing fuel taxes.

<u>In re Knudson</u>, 929 F.2d 1280 (8th Cir. 1991)

Under North Dakota law financing statements

listing corporations name but not names of individual debtors was insufficient to perfect any security interest that might have been created in debtor"s checking account.

In re Holiday Intervals, Inc., 931 F.2d 500 (8th Cir. 1991)

Document containing both land sale installment contract and note was not "instrument" under UCC section 9-105, so that a security interest could be perfected only by filing a UCC financing statement, rather than by mere possession.

<u>In re Larson</u>, 128 B.R. 257 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

Under North Dakota law, equipment leases constituted "true leases," rather than conditional sales contracts.

In re Quality Processing, Inc., 9 F.3d 1360 (8th Cir. 1994)

Lack of identification of Chapter 11 debtor"s beans to sales contracts did not preclude action against creditor for tortious interference with the contract.

<u>Harmon v. U.S., Acting Through Farmers</u> <u>Home Admin.</u>, 101 F.3d 574 (8th Cir. 1996) Chapter 12 permits debtor to "strip down" undersecured creditor"s lien to value of collateral.

In re Beasley, 102 F.3d 334 (8th Cir. 1996)

State relation-back statutes are inapplicable to preference-avoidance analysis under § 547(c)(3)(B).

SECURITY AGREEMENT

Heartline Farms, Inc. v. Daly, 934 F.2d 985 (8th Cir. 1991)

SETOFF

Small Business Administration v. Rhinehart, 887 F.2d 165 (8th Cir. 1989)

<u>U. S. v. McPeck</u>, 910 F.2d 509, (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Knudson,</u> 929 F.2d 1280 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Lund</u>, 136 B.R. 237 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Installment contract for sale of farmland was a "security device," rather than an "executory contract" subject to assumption or rejection.

Bankruptcy Code provision governing waiver of sovereign immunity did not authorize award of punitive damages against the United States for willful violation of automatic stay.

Where government's claim exceeded debtor's damages from government's violation of automatic stay, proper procedure was to offset debtor's recovery against government's claim.

Entire amount of bank"s setoff of balance in debtors" checking account against amount of note which had been declared to be in default during preference period was not avoidable, but instead bank could set off only the amount that would have been available to it as of 90 days before bankruptcy filing.

Requisite mutuality existed, for setoff

<u>In re Apex Oil Co.,</u> 975 F.2d 1365 (8th Cir. 1992)

Reiter v. Cooper, 507 U.S. 258, 113 S. Ct. 1213 (1993)

<u>U.S. Through ASCS v. Gerth</u>, 991 F.2d 1428 (8th Cir. 1993)

purposes, between Commodity Credit Corporation"s obligation to debtors and debtors" prepetition debt for overpayment.

Under Texas law, notices stamped on invoices indicating creditor"s security interest provided account debtor with notice of assignment of accounts receivable by creditor, and debtor could not set off amounts it owed to creditor against amounts creditor owed to debtor on different contracts unless creditor was estopped from recovering under the invoices.

Rules 8 and 54 are fully applicable in adversary proceedings by Bankruptcy Rule 7008 and 7054 and an adversary proceeding defendant can meet a plaintiff-debtor claim with a counterclaim arising out of the same transaction at least to the extent of recoupment.

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service was entitled to set off its debt to Chapter 12 debtor for conservation reservee program payments against debtor"s obligation to Government for agricultural U.S. on Behalf of U.S. Postal Service v. Dewey Freight System,

Inc., 31 F.3d 620 (8th Cir. 1994)

In re Kalenze, 175 B.R. 35 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

Citizens Bank of Maryland v. Strumpf _ U.S. __,

SETTLEMENTS

In re New Concept Housing, Inc., 951 F.2d 932 (8th Cir. 1991)

price support overpayments.

Unlike setoff, recoupment is appropriate only where both debts arise out of a single integrated transaction so that it would be inequitable for a debtor to enjoy benefits of that transaction without also meeting its obligations. **United States Postal** Service was not entitled to recoup damages incurred when Chapter 11 debtor refused to perform

executory contracts against sums that the USPS owed the debtor for postpetition trucking services under the contracts.

IRS & Federal Crop Ins. Corp. stood in "mutual capacity" as required for setoff of debtors tax refund against insurance premiums owed by debtor, even though they were different agencies.

An administrative freeze does not violate the 116 S. Ct. 286 (1995) automatic stay and is not a setoff within the meaning of $\S 362(a)(7)$.

Bankruptcy court"s approval of settlement of creditor"s claim was not an abuse of

discretion, even though debtor had not been given notice of hearing on trustee"s objection to claim.

JCA Partnership v. Wenzel Plumbing & Heating, Inc. 978 F.2d 1056 (8th Cir. 1992) Settlement agreement approved by bankruptcy court in fraudulent transfer proceeding brought by purchaser against vendor"s principal did not bar rescission and restitution claims.

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY

<u>United States v. Nordic Village,</u> <u>Inc.</u>, 503 U.S. 30, 112 S. Ct. 1011 (1992) Section 106(c) does not waive the United States" from sovereign immunity an action seeking monetary recovery in bankruptcy.

STAY

Small Business Administration v. Rhinehart, 887 F.2d 165 (8th Cir. 1989) Bankruptcy Code provision governing waiver of sovereign immunity did not authorize award of punitive damages against the United States for willful violation of automatic stay.

Hazen First State Bank v. Speight, 888 F.2d 574 (8th Cir. 1989) Expiration of subordination agreement between two creditors did not fall within automatic stay provision of the Bankruptcy Code, and thus, the agreement"s expiration was not tolled by the automatic stay.

<u>In re Knaus</u>, 889 F.2d 773 (8th Cir. 1989)

Creditor"s failure to voluntarily turn over property taken lawfully prepetition constituted a violation of the automatic stay.

<u>U.S. v. McPeck</u>, 910 F.2d 509 (8th Cir. 1990)

Where government"s claim exceeded debtor"s damages from government"s violation of automatic stay, proper procedure was to offset debtor"s recovery against government"s claim.

In re Commonwealth Companies, Inc., 913 F.2d 518 (8th Cir. 1990)

Fact that debtor corporations had not engaged in any business activity postpetition did not render inapplicable subparagraph excepting from stay an action by a governmental unit to enforce its police or regulatory power.

<u>In re Anderson</u>, 913 F.2d 530 (8th Cir. 1990)

Debtors did not make sufficient showing of possibility for successful reorganization, once creditor established debtor"s lack of equity in collateral property, to avoid lifting of stay.

<u>In re Fenske</u>, 96 B.R. 244 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1988)

Once a creditor is shown there to be no equity, it is for the debtor to prove there is a reasonable possibility of a successful reorganization within a reasonable time. Although a less detailed showing is

normally required in the early stages of a case, a debtor none-the-less must be prepared to meet the movant"s evidence.

Erickson v. Polk, 921 F.2d 200 (8th Cir. 1991)

Lessor of unimproved farmland did not violate automatic stay when it retook possession of land following postpetition expiration of lease.

<u>Lovett v. Honeywell, Inc.</u>, 930 F.2d 625 (8th Cir. 1991)

"Egregious circumstances" did not exist sufficient to support award of punitive damages for shipper"s alleged knowing violation of automatic stay.

See also:

<u>U.S. v. Ketelsen</u>, 880 F.2d 990 (8th Cir. 1991).

<u>In re Wieseler</u>, 934 F.2d 965 (8th Cir. 1991)

Bankruptcy court abused its discretion by vacating order lifting automatic stay after debtors failed to honor a settlement agreement with creditor requiring payment of debts in installments.

<u>In re Hampton Corp., Inc.,</u> 126 B.R. 61 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991)

Resolution Trust Corp., as receiver for creditor, was not entitled to stay debtor"s motion for use of rents in order to obtain substitute counsel, in light of irreparable harm to debtor.

Bd. of Governors of the Fed. Reserve Sys. v. McCorp Fin, Inc., 50 U.S. 32, 112 S. Ct. 459 (1991)

Board of Governors v. McCorp Financial, 50 U.S. 32, 112 S.Ct. 459 (1991)

Brown v. Armstrong, 949 F.2d 1007 (8th Cir. 1991)

Croyden Associates v. Alleco, Inc., 969 F.2d 675 (8th Cir. 1992)

The language of 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(1), which provides that no court shall have jurisdiction to affect the issuance or enforcement of any administrative notice or order, is not qualaified or superseded by the automatic stay provision found in section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code. The limitions found in Sections 362(a)(3) and 362(a)(6) have no effect on nonfinal, ongoing administrative proceedings. Furthermore, section 362 (b)(4) expressly provides that the automatic stay will not reach proceedings to enforce a governmental unit"s police or regulatory power.

Automatic stay did not authorize district court to enjoin Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System from prosecuting administrative proceedings against Chapter 11 debtor, a bank holding company.

Automatic stay does not apply to judicial proceedings initiated by the debtor.

The stay required under section 362 extends only to claims against the

debtor and is not available to non-debtor codefendants, even if they are in a similar legal or factual nexus with the debtor.

<u>U.S. through Farmers Home</u> <u>Admin. v. Nelson</u>, 969 F.2d 626 (8th Cir. 1992) Stay is not violated by a post-petition letter sent by a codebtor (FmHA) advising a debtor of various loan options and remedies.

<u>Farley v. Henson</u>, 2 F.3d 273 (8th Cir. 1993)

Appeal of state court judgment brought by debtor is stayed by bankruptcy and only bankruptcy court may grant relief from stay.

<u>Citizens Bank of Maryland v.</u> <u>Strumpf</u> 516 U.S. 16 116 S.Ct. 286 (1995) An administrative freeze does not violate the automatic stay and is not a setoff within the meaning of § 362(a)(7).

STIPULATIONS

Use of cash collateral satisfied statutory requirements, and stipulations for use of cash collateral were valid and binding, entitling creditor whose cash collateral was used to lien on post-petition collateral.

<u>In re Trout</u>, 123 B.R. 333, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Stipulation as to value of Chapter 12 debtors" property was not enforceable against

<u>In re Edwards</u>, 924 F.2d 798 (8th Cir. 1991)

<u>In re Wieseler</u>, 934 F.2d 965 (8th Cir. 1991)

Armstrong v. Norwest Bank, Minneapolis, N.A., 964 F.2d 797 (8th Cir. 1992)

U.S. Through Farmers Home Admin. v. Nelson, 969 F.2d 626 (8th Cir. 1992) mortgagee without debtors paying the consideration required by agreement under which one debtor"s mother would purchase mortgage. collateral.

Bankruptcy court abused its discretion by vacating order lifting automatic stay after debtors failed to honor a settlement agreement with creditor requiring payment of debts in installments.

Chapter 7 trustee, following conversion of case from Chapter 11, was bound by acts of debtor-inpossession in entering into stipulations with regard to cash collateral and by decisions of courts regarding such stipulations.

Chapter 7 trustee and
Farmers Home Admin. did not
illegally subvert debtors"
rights by entering into
stipulation agreeing to
liquidate debtors" farm
free and clear of all
encumbrances, even though
stipulation eliminated need
for FmHA to foreclose on
property and rendered the
debtors ineligible for
"preservation rights" under
Agricultural Credit Act.

Judge v. Production Credit Ass"n of Midlands, 969 F.2d 699 (8th Cir. 1992)

STUDENT LOANS

<u>In re Groves</u>, 39 F.3d 212 (8th Cir. 1994)

SUBORDINATION

Schultz Broadway Inn v. U.S., 912 F.2d 230 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re First Truck Lines, Inc.</u>, 517 U.S. 535, 116 S.Ct. 1524 (1996)

District court"s factual findings that there was no binding agreement between debtors and creditor to restructure debtors" loan and to forgive a substantial amount of the debt were not clearly erroneous.

Nondischargeability of student loans was not a sufficient basis for separate classification. A plan may pay student loans pro rata along with other unsecured claims and as a continuing obligation thereafter or may treat it as a long term indebtedness under § 1322(b)(5) by curing arrearages and maintaining regular payments.

Subordination of nonpecuniary loss penalties was not precluded in Chapter 11 proceeding, even though nonpecuniary loss penalty claims are, under the Bankruptcy Code, expressly subordinated only in Chapter 7.

A Bankruptcy Court"s power to equitably subordinate claims under section 510 is restricted by statutory ordering. Absent creditor misconduct, subordination

cannot occur where the Code provides for priorities.

SUBSTANTIAL ABUSE

<u>U. S. Trustee v. Harris,</u> 960 F.2d 74 (8th Cir. 1992)

<u>Fonder v. U.S.</u>, 974 F.2d 996 (8th Cir. 1992)

<u>In re Young</u>, 82 F.3 1407 (8th Cir. 1996)

TAX LIABILITY

Holywell Corp. v. Smith, 503 U.S. 47, 112 S.Ct. 1021 (1992)

District court properly ordered dismissal of Chapter 7 petition for "substantial abuse," where debtors" disposable income would enable them to pay approximately 156% of their unsecured debt over three years. only in Chapter 7.

Debtor had sufficient disposable income to fund a Chapter 13 plan, warranting dismissal of his Chapter 7 petition for "substantial abuse."

The free exercise of religion protected by the Religious Freedom Reformation Act, provides a variable defuse against an otherwise voidable preference. Arguably, it also preserves tithings against claims of excessive monthly expenditures.

Trustee, as assignee of "all" or "substantially all" of property of corporate debtors, had to file returns and pay taxes that the corporate debtors would have been required to file

and pay absent assignment.

<u>In re Olson</u>, 154 B.R. 276 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

Tax penalties based on acts that occurred more than three years prepetition are dischargeable regardless of dischargeability status of underlying tax debts.

TAXES

<u>In re Koppinger</u>, 113 B. R. 588, (Bankr. D.N.D. 1990)

Perfected security interest in accounts receivable for sale of motor fuel was not broad enough to encompass amounts representing fuel taxes.

<u>In re Bentley</u>, 916 F.2d 431 (8th Cir. 1990)

Chapter 7 estate"s liability for tax on gain from sale of corn crop and on interest earned on the sale proceeds was not abrogated by abandonment of property by Chapter 7 trustee.

<u>In re Smith</u>, 921 F.2d 136 (8th Cir. 1991)

Turnover proceeding brought by trustee against Government to recover tax overpayment could not be maintained in the absence of a timely claim for refund.

<u>In re Jehan-Das, Inc.</u>, 925 F.2d 237 (8th Cir. 1991)

IRS could apply payment on post-petition tax claim by corporate Chapter 11 debtor first to nontrust fund taxes and preserve its recourse with respect to the responsible individual on trust fund taxes.

<u>In re Olson</u>, 930 F.2d 6 (8th Cir. 1991)

In re Wallerstedt, 930 F.3rd 630 (8th Cir. 1991)

I.R.S. v. Boatmen"s First Nat. Bank of Kansas City, 5 F.3d 1157 (8th Cir. 1993)

In re Jefferson Lines, Inc., 15 F.3d 90 (8th Cir. 1994) Reversed in Oklahoma Tax Com. v. Jefferson Lines, Inc.

514 U.S. 175, 115 S. Ct. 1331 (1995)

In re L. J. O"Neill Shoe Co., 64 F.3d 1146 (8th Cir. 1995)

Abandonment of property by Chapter 7 estate was not a "sale or exchange," and was accordingly not a taxable event giving rise to federal or Iowa tax liability for the estate.

Federal and state income tax refunds that debtors received when their employers withheld too much of their earnings were not themselves "earnings," within the meaning of Missouri exemption statute.

IRS may recover postpetition payroll taxes from secured collateral as a cost incidental to preserving the estate where secured creditor agrees to the preservation.

Chapter 11 debtor-bus company was not required to pay Oklahoma sales tax on gross price of interstate bus tickets sold in Oklahoma because the tax violated the Commerce Clause.

Construing § 503(b)(1)(B) (i) and 507(a)(7)(iii), court holds that portions of state tax claims relating to debtors prepetition corporate income were not administrative

<u>United States v. Reorganized</u> <u>CF&I Fabricators of Utah</u>, 518 U.S. 213; 116 S.Ct. 2106 (1996)

In re Juvenile Shoe Corp. of America, 99 F.3d 898 (8th Cir. 1996)

TRUSTEES

<u>In re Russell</u>, 927 F.2d 413 (8th Cir. 1991)

In re Olson, 930 F.2d 6

expenses, but were entitled only to seventh priority as taxes not assessed prepetition but assessable post petition. Subsection (iii) addresses only prepetition taxable activity or events.

The exaction tax imposed under 26 USCA § 4971 on accumulated pensions funding deficiencies is not an "excise tax" entitled to priority under § 507. It is an ordinary unsecured claim.

Whether an IRS assessment is "excise tax" or "penalty" requires looking beyond the label to how it operates. Here court defines "tax", "excise tax" and "penalty" for priority purposes and determines that a flat tax levied on surplus funds remaining after employer liquidated its overfunded pension plan was an "excise tax" entitled to a seventh priority.

Debtor-in-possession"s election to carry forward net operating losses was an unauthorized postpetition transfer, voidable by trustee if not in the ordinary course of business.

Abandonment of property by

(8th Cir. 1991)

Holywell Corp. v Smith, 503 U.S. 47, 112 S.Ct. 1021 (1992)

<u>Taylor v. Freeland & Kronz,</u> 503 U.S. 638, 112 S. Ct. 1644 (1992)

Stumpf v. Albracht, 982 F.2d 275 (8th Cir. 1992)

In re Wagner, 150 B.R. 753 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

Reversed in 159 B.R. 268 (D.C. N.D. 1993)

Chapter 7 estate was not a "sale or exchange," and was accordingly not a taxable event giving rise to federal or Iowa tax liability for the estate.

The U. S. Supreme Court ruled, 1992 WL 30614, that a trustee appointed pursuant to a confirmed plan to liquidate and distribute the Chapter 11 debtors" property after the property was transferred to a trust had to file income tax returns and pay income taxes under the Internal Revenue Code.

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that a Chapter 7 trustee could not assert an untimely challenge to the debtor"s claimed exemption, even if the debtor did not have a colorable statutory basis for claiming the exemption.

Existence of bankruptcy does not grant trustee a cause of action against 3rd parties which would have been unavailable to the debtor.

All payments on impaired claims are payments "under the plan," and are subject to Chapter 12 trustee"s fee, plan

provisions notwithstanding.

<u>In re Wagner</u>, 159 B.R. 268 (D.C. N.D. 1993) <u>aff"d</u>. 36 F.3d 723 (8th Cir. 1994)

Chapter 12 debtor could directly pay impaired claims without paying trustee"s fee.

Aviation Supply Corp. v. R.S.B.I. Aerospace, Inc., 999 F.2d 314 (8th Cir. 1993) Judgment debtor"s pattern of willful non-disclosure of assets, false disclosures, and transfer to avoid tenacious judgment creditor warranted appointment of receiver for the judgment debtor.

<u>In re H & S Motor Freight, Inc.</u>, 23 F.3d 1431 (8th Cir. 1994)

Trustee fee formula provided by Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act that would have allowed enhanced compensation did not apply to trustee in case converted to Chapter 7 before amendments took effect, even though bulk of trustee"s ten years of service occurred after amendments were adopted.

McCuskey v. Central Trailer Services, Ltd., 37 F.3d 1329 (8th Cir. 1994) Statute of limitations on preference avoidance claim ran from date of Chapter 11 trustee"s appointment, not from Chapter 7 trustee"s appointment following conversion of case.

<u>Pelofsky v. Wallace</u>, 102 F.3d 350 (8th Cir. 1996)

The percentage fee for Chapter 12 trustees is based upon amount the trustee

receives for disbursement to creditors and does not include sums received for trustee"s fee.

TRUSTS

<u>In re Paulson</u>, 150 B.R. 960 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

<u>Chiu v. Wong</u>, 16 F.3d 306 (8th Cir. 1994)

<u>Drewes v. Schonteich,</u> 31 F.3d 674 (8th Cir. 1994)

<u>In re Markmueller</u>, 51 F.3d 775 (8th Cir. 1995)

Constructive trust did not arise out of guarantor"s execution and satisfaction of agreement to guarantee loan made by bank to debtor.

Former partner of Chapter 13 debtor"s husband sufficiently traced proceeds of his partnership property into debtor"s homestead, and, thus, was entitled to a constructive trust on homestead to extent that funds for purchase of home came from partnership assets.

Agreements under which settlor gave cash and stock to charitable institution that agreed to pay settlor"s caretaker monthly payments which were non-assignable and terminated at caretaker"s death complied with California spendthrift trust law, and, thus, were excluded from caretaker"s bankruptcy estate.

Trust assets were includable in settlor"s Chapter 7 estate because spendthrift provisions were invalid under Missouri law.

<u>In re Jeter</u>, 73 F.3d 205 (8th Cir. 1996)

In re Rine & Rine Auctioneers, Inc., 74 F.3d 848 (8th Cir. 1996)

In re Rine & Rine Auctioneers, Inc., 74 F.3d 854 (8th Cir. 1996)

Ricke v. Armco Inc., 92 F.3d 720, (8th Cir. 1996) Constructive trust would not be imposed on assets of Chapter 7 debtors" corporation in favor of single judgment creditor because such creditor was situated like every other creditor and was not entitled to any special rights.

Under Nebraska law, because Chapter 7 debtor-auctioneer was not agent of its customer once auction proceeds were property of debtor"s bankruptcy estate.

Under Nebraska law, because Chapter 7 debtorauctioneer was not customer"s agent at time auction proceeds were deposited in debtor"s bank account and then paid to customer and bank, proceeds were estate property that trustee could recover as preferences.

Payment to trust beneficiary by third party liable to trust does not give third party a legal defense to claim by trustee, not even when circuity-of-action would otherwise result; at most, third party has equitable defense to trustee"s action.

TURNOVER

Evans v. Robbins, 897 F.2d 966 (8th Cir. 1990)

<u>In re Borchert</u>, 143 B.R. 917 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

Stoebner v. Murray, 91 F.3d 1091

UNITED STATES

<u>Farmers State Sav. Bank v.</u>
<u>Farmers Home Admin.</u>, 891 F.2d 200, (8th Cir. 1989)

Doctrine of continued possession warranted order requiring turnover of assets held by involuntary Chapter 7 debtors, though more than six years had elapsed since filing of petition, where the debtors had attempted to hide assets and their proceeds through confusion of goods, concealment, false statements, and failure to produce documents.

Entities which had held Chapter 7 debtor"s IRA funds failed to overcome presumption of receipt of notice of debtor"s bankruptcy filing, and had to be held in contempt for failing to comply with turnover order.

Collateral estoppel did (8th Cir. 1996) not bar litigation of a turnover order because none of the issues concerning the origin of the funds had been actually litigated or determined by a prior decision.

Bank"s complaint against FmHA, seeking recovery for loss sustained when borrowers declared bankruptcy after bank had

made farm ownership loan to borrowers with understanding that FmHA had committed itself to making loan to borrowers with which they could repay bank, was barred under Federal Tort Claims Act section precluding claims arising out of misrepresentation.

U.S. TRUSTEE''S FEES

In re Juhl Enterprises, Inc., 921 F.2d 800 (8th Cir. 1991) U.S. Trustee"s quarterly fees, incurred by debtor in Chapter 11 case prior to conversion to Chapter 7, had priority over Chapter 11 administrative expenses and same level of priority as Chapter 7 administrative expenses.

VALUATION

<u>In re Trimble</u>, 50 F.3rd 530 (8th Cir. 1995)

Value of vehicle that Chapter 13 debtor intended to retain was retail, not wholesale value, for purpose of determining amount secured creditor had to receive for plan to be confirmed over creditor"s objection.

VENUE

Setco Enterprises Corp. v. Robbins, 19 F.3d 1278 (8th Cir. 1994)

Fraud action that was based, at least in part, on debtors" assets was appropriately brought in same judicial district in which bankruptcy court that issued order was located.

WILLFUL AND MALICIOUS INJURY

<u>In re Hofmann</u>, 144 B.R. 459 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

<u>In re Bumann</u>, 147 B.R. 44 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1992)

<u>In re Haakenson</u>, 159 B.R. 875 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1993)

<u>In re Lacina</u>, 162 B.R. 267 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

<u>In re Roehrich</u>, 169 B.R. 941 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1994)

Judgment arising out of debtor"s failure to return all leased cattle on demand was not nondischargeable on grounds of willful and malicious conversion of property.

Creditor"s contingent, unliquidated claim based on Chapter 7 debtor"s willful and malicious physical assault at outdoor social function was nondischargeable.

Discharge exception for willful and malicious injury applied to losses sustained by insurance company that purchased insurance business from debtor"s insurance agency.

Debt arising out of unauthorized and surreptitious acts by debtor-dealer of repeatedly forging seed supplier"s endorsement on customers" checks and converting proceeds therefrom to his personal use came within discharge exception for willful and malicious injury.

Chapter 7 debtor"s conviction previously entered against him following his guilty plea to charge of theft of <u>In re Foust</u>, 52 F.3d 766 (8th Cir. 1995)

<u>In re Geiger</u>, 93 F.3d 443 (8th Cir. 1996) aff''d en banc decision 113 F.3d 848 (8th Cir. 1997)

<u>In re Waugh</u>, 95 F.3d 706 (8th Cir. 1996).

WORKER''S COMPENSATION

<u>In re HLM Corp.</u>, 62 F.3d 224 (8th Cir. 1995)

horses had collateral estoppel effect in proceeding to determine if related debt fell within exception to discharge for willful and malicious injury.

Debtor willfully and maliciously injured government agency"s collateral for nondischargeability purposes by secretly converting crop that secured a government loan.

Conduct which is merely reckless without proof that the debtor intentionally inflicted injury is not malicious within meaning of § 523(a)(5).

Although a determination of willfulness & maliciousness invokes a question of intent factual findings are nonetheless subject to review where physical, documentary and other evidence contradicts a witnesses" story.

Unpaid prepetition premiums under Minnesota"s workers" compensation scheme were not "contributions to an employee benefit plan," entitled to priority status in employer"s Chapter 7 case.